



# MINUTES

## Charlotte County Board of Zoning Appeals

Wednesday, August 10, 2022 9:00 a.m. – Room B-106

Charlotte County Administration Center  
18500 Murdock Circle, Port Charlotte, FL 33948-1094

(These minutes are not official until they have been approved by the Charlotte County Board of Zoning Appeals)

### **Board Member:**

Blair McVety, Chair  
William Abbatematteo, Vice-Chair  
Steve Vieira, Secretary  
Larry Fix  
John Doner

### **Staff:**

Shaun Cullinan, Planning/Zoning Official  
Thomas David, Asst. Co. Attorney  
Stacy Bjordahl, Asst. Co. Attorney  
Elizabeth Nocheck, AICP, Sr. Planner  
Diane Clim - Recorder

---

### **I. Call to Order**

Chair McVety called the August 10, 2022 meeting of the Board of Zoning Appeals to order at 9:00 a.m.

### **II. Pledge of Allegiance**

Chair McVety led the members and the audience in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.

### **III. Roll Call**

Roll call was taken; a quorum was present.

### **IV. Swearing In of Those Giving Testimony**

Diane Clim swore in all persons who wished to provide testimony.

### **V. Approval of Minutes**

***ACTION:*** A motion was presented by Mr. Fix and seconded by Mr. Doner to approve the minutes of July 13, 2022 meeting of the Board of Zoning Appeals which passed with a unanimous vote.

### **VI. Disclosure Statements**

Ex-parte forms indicating site visits concerning the petitions being presented before the August 10, 2022 Board of Zoning Appeals meeting were submitted.

### **VII. Introduction of Staff/Comments**

Chair McVety introduced staff. Shaun Cullinan, Planning and Zoning Official, read the Zoning rules, Asst. County Attorney David and Chair McVety made introductory remarks regarding the types of requests that the Board of Zoning Appeals would be reviewing and the standards which must be met, the notification process and how the Board of Zoning Appeals makes its decision.

**VIII. New Business**

***The following petitions were advertised on July 26, 2022: SE-22-014, SE-22-015, VAR-22-008, VAR-22-009, and VAR-22-010***

**SE-22-014**

Geri Waksler, representative for George & Christina Davis, is requesting a special exception to allow a 17-lot cluster housing development, in the Agriculture (AG) zoning district. The property is located at 34100, 34050, & 34150 Washington Loop Road, Punta Gorda, and is described as Parcels P3-1, P3, and P1, located in Sections 14 and 23, Township 40 South, Range 24 East.

Elizabeth Nocheck read into the record the staff report and staff findings for the petition.

**Applicant Presentation**

**Geri Waksler, representative for the applicants, said she was sworn in. Ms. Waksler** said what is being requested today is a Special Exception for a particular use, which is for a cluster development. The lot sizes and setbacks are permitted to be reduced. This land density is allowed to have 17 units. This development will require a plat to create the 17 lots, the private roads and the stormwater management pond. Commercial excavations are not permitted in the Agriculture zoning district. The lake that is shown on the concept plan will serve 3 purposes; It will provide the base material for the roadways within the subdivision, it will provide fill to contour the property, and it will provide stormwater management for the project.

**Mr. Vieira** asked what is the smallest lot in this subdivision?

**Ms. Waksler** said the smallest of all the lots is lot #8.

**Mr. Doner** asked what happens to the pond if we have a drought?

**Clay Rebol, engineer with Banks engineering, said he was sworn in. Mr. Rebol** said they are trying to control too much run off. In the winter when we don't have much rain, but usually in the summer we get a lot of rain, so that will control the run off.

***Chair McVety opened the meeting to Public Comments.***

**Public Input**

**Howard Kunik, who lives in the area, said he was sworn in. Mr. Kunik** said he feels this meets the criteria for a special exception so he does not have any issues. He said when this development is actually all created and goes to the County for approvals, he suggested the County have the neighborhoods who are being impacted all get notified and be in the "loop", during these reviews, not when the whole thing is approved and starting to be developed. He feels if you involve the neighbors, they can ask questions as the project is being reviewed so staff knows the concerns of the residents.

**John Lipsey, who lives in the area, said he was sworn in. Mr. Lipsey** said the bridge that borders his property is not in the greatest shape. He is concerned about the weight of the dump trucks and other big trucks.

**Gregg Klowden, who lives in the area, said he was sworn in.** Mr. Klowden said he doesn't have a problem with building on this site, his concern is the oversized lake. It is 40 acres. He read you are up to 10% or 17 acres allowed for the lake according to what he read in the ordinance. He said he assumes they are not just asking for cluster housing, this is also for the large lake. He was concerned with the mining activity for a 40 acre lake. He is concerned how digging this lake will impact the wells they all have in this area. He also mentioned all the protected species that are in this area.

**Bill Glenier, who lives in the area, said he was sworn in.** Mr. Glenier said there was a project similar to this about 8 or 9 years ago off Washington Loop and it is still being mined today. They are still digging the dirt out. He said they do not need any more mining in this area. He asked if there can be a limit put on the lake being done. Two or three years should be enough to do the lake.

**James Colt, who lives in the area, said he was sworn in.** Mr. Colt said he has 3 wells on his property. 2 are irrigation wells and one is a deep well. The 2 irrigation wells are artesian wells. They are less than 20 feet deep. He feels they figure out the dirt removal if they dig 20 feet. He also feels his 2 artesian wells may not be there anymore. He would like to see an engineering study for this lake. He does not want his drinking water well to be ruined or dried up.

**Helen Kunik, who lives in the area, said she was sworn in.** Ms. Kunik said she has been in this community about 18 years. Her concern is when a project is under construction, it can pose a lot of stress on the neighborhood. She asked if everyone will make sure our senior citizens who will have to deal with the noise and pounding for the lake every day for years, are in the developer's thoughts. Not just the birds and animals.

**Brett Hawker, who lives in the area, said he was sworn in.** Mr. Hawker said he shares the concerns all the others brought up. He said the County bought over 30 acres in this area for the scrub jays. He said some land across the street was purchased, so they bought out of the scrub jay area. He wondered why you care about birds on one side of the street and not the other. He also feels this is more for the lake.

**Dannela Vare, who lives in the area, said she was sworn in.** Ms. Vare said the berm proposed is a type A. She asked what a type A berm was. The berm ends by her lot, she feels it would impact the sale of that lot. She is also concerned with the drainage. They have a lot of water currently when it rains. She also mentioned there are a lot of gopher tortoises in the area.

**Bradley Teets, who lives in the area, said he was sworn in.** Mr. Teets said most of his questions have been addressed. He asked about the berm along the lower end of the new development. He said since it will be gated, he wondered why it would not be buffered around the whole area. Will the developer plan to fill the lots with land from the lake before they are sold, or is that part of the builder's responsibility when they are ready for construction? He also said the bridges in the area should be a high concern. He agrees with Mr. Kunik it would be nice if the neighborhood was updated on these plans.

**Jacqueline Gerue, who lives in the area, said she was sworn in.** Ms. Gerue said her husband is a senior inspector for roadways and bridge construction and he has concerns. He could not be here today. He is concerned about the structural integrity of the bridges because of safety issues with additional construction traffic including heavy equipment. He suggested monitoring it throughout the construction.

**Dwight Dean, who lives in the area, said he was sworn in.** Mr. Dean said the history of this land was pastures and before that it was a production farm. During that time, the nature of the soil was fine sand. The air picks that sand up and moves it around the area. Our lanai, our roof and house was covered during those years of production work. It is a black soil like covering. We had to hire professionals to do a commercial removal, power washers. Now if they construct a lake and remove dirt, and building 17 homes, it would seem to me that this whole area in proximity of the project, it will also affect their homes.

**John Fulton, who lives in the area, said he was sworn in.** Mr. Fulton said he does not have a problem with the development, but he is concerned about how much material will be leaving the area. He knows some will be used on the site, but he is concerned about the big vehicles and roads.

***There being no further requests to speak for or against the petition, Mr. Fix moved to close the public comments, seconded by Mr. Doner. The public comments was closed with a unanimous vote.***

#### Rebuttal

**Ms. Waksler** said several people talked about the dump trucks. The intent of the lake is to provide fill to be able to fill the site necessary to have the contour to have the rain run into the stormwater pond so you do not have runoff on residential sites. To raise the site 1.5 feet, it will require 425,000 c.y. of dirt. If we reduce the size of the lake for only what is needed for a stormwater pond, then all that dirt has to be trucked into that site. You are going to have trucks one way or another. They will either be leaving the site with dirt, or if they do not dig enough and need to truck dirt in, there will be trucks coming in. The Davis' bought this property many years ago. They and their children and grandchildren all living in the community. They wanted to create a site for their family compound. Unfortunately after buying this, they did find land in DeSoto County that they fell in love with. They now live in DeSoto County. They would like to do something with this site. They could build 17 homes on this site without the special exception but they would have to follow the setbacks for 10 acres in agriculture. It is the cluster development that requires the special exception. This is a stormwater pond for the development.

Elizabeth Nocheck presented the recommended conditions for the petition.

#### Board Member Comments and Questions

There was discussion about if this was not approved, they can still build the 17 homes, what the lot sizes would be, also if horses and/or chickens are allowed. If boats were allowed on the lake or just kayaks. There was also discussion about the landscape buffer.

***ACTION: A motion was presented by Steve Vieira and seconded by William Abbatematteo that Petition SE-22-014 be APPROVED based on the Community Development Staff Report dated August 3, 2022, the evidence and testimony presented at the hearing and finding that the applicant HAS MET the required criteria for the granting of the Special Exception with six conditions recommended by staff. The Board also added another condition the lake be completed within 3 years.***

***Motion was approved with a 4 to 1 vote with the following seven conditions:  
(Mr. Fix voted against the approval motion)***

1. The special exception, as approved by the Board of Zoning Appeals, is to allow a 17-lot cluster housing development and extends only to the lands included in the Site Plans and legal description submitted with this application.

2. The Concept Plan submitted by the applicant, as part of the petition, is for illustrative purposes only. All applicable regulations of County Code shall apply to this development. The applicant shall obtain all necessary permits and approvals as applicable to this development, including but not limited to Site Plan Review, right-of-way access, vegetation removal, fencing, stormwater management, and landscape plan approval.
3. The maximum density permitted for the development shall be 17 units.
4. As shown on the Annotated Concept Plan (Exhibit I), a Type A buffer shall be installed and maintained along the south property line between the proposed roadway and the south property line. The Type A buffer shall be installed in coordination with the development of the proposed roadway.
5. This Special Exception is granted for a term of five years from the date of approval from the Board of Zoning Appeals; however, the Special Exception shall not expire if the owner commences the proposed development on or before the Special Exception's term expires.
6. Any major changes or additions to this special exception shall require a modification of the special exception. Minor changes or additions, such as accessory uses or structures, may be approved by the Zoning Official.
7. Excavation of the lake contemplated in the concept plan shall be completed no later than 3 years from the date of commencement of excavation of the lake. The applicant may request an extension of this condition if the applicant can show good cause for a reasonable delay.

#### **SE-22-015**

Thomas Dunn, representative for Charlotte County Utilities, is requesting a special exception to allow an essential service, consisting of a potable water booster pump station and wastewater lift station, in the Parks and Recreation (PKR) zoning district. The property is located at 18719 O'Hara Drive, Port Charlotte, and is described as Block 4660, of the Port Charlotte Subdivision, Section 79, Tract C, located in Section 32, Township 40 South, Range 22 East.

Elizabeth Nocheck read into the record the staff report and staff findings for the petition.

#### **Applicant Presentation**

**Thomas Dunn, representative for the applicant,** said he was sworn in. **Mr. Dunn** said he will turn this over to his project engineer, Dennis Croyle from Giffels Websters Engineering.

**Dennis Croyle, engineer for the project, said he was sworn in.** **Mr. Croyle** said this is a one acre site. He said it is a potable water booster station as well as a disinfection station. The current station is very old. It is time for upgrades. Also the sewer area outside is being expanded so there is a little more capacity in the new lift station. There is an emergency generator in case of a power outage. This is a remote operated station, the only time an employee will show up is for occasional maintenance.

There was a few questions regarding the maintenance normally performed and how many people this lift station serves.

***Chair McVety opened the meeting to Public Comments.***

### **Public Input**

**Tom Fry, who lives in the area, said he was sworn in.** Mr. Fry said his concern was the canal area to the west. He said sewers are north of this area. He said there is a lot of noise from that site and this has been going on for 2 years. He said they also get a lot of dirt in the air from this type of work. He is not opposed to this project, just the location. He said the County owns the property to the east across the road, he feels the waste station should go on that site across the road. That site currently has a lot of heavy equipment stored there for the current project.

**Kris Sluzowicz, who lives in the area, said she was sworn in.** Ms. Sluzowicz said she brought in the air filer from her house. It is filthy from all the dirt they get now from the current project. We were misled that the current project would be done by now. But it is not done and here comes another project.

**Dwayne Noe, who lives in the area, said he was sworn in.** Mr. Noe said he feels the site across the street which has all the heavy equipment for the current project, is like a dumping ground.

**George Sluzowicz, who lives in the area, said he was sworn in.** Mr. Sluzewicz said we have already endured the noise and pollution from the current project.

**William Meyer, who lives in the area, said he was sworn in.** Mr. Meyer said the aerial topo map is inaccurate. He said 1/3 of the lot is construction equipment. He wanted to know what the elevation of the lot is. He said when a hurricane comes and the water rises, they will all be underwater. There is dirt piled higher than this building. He is tired of hearing debris crushed up. He said there was a lot of gopher tortoises on that lot. You are also pounding the bridges into the ground. There are cracks all over the road and bridge.

**Stephen Frye, who lives in the area, said he was sworn in.** Mr. Frye said he has worked on risk assessments that are related to environmental concerns and health risks when building large facilities. His background is with nuclear power plants. His major point with this project is the location. He asked the Board if they could put this on hold for one month and look at possible locations. He said there are fisheries and crab traps, a dedicated hog island, there are homes within 20-30 feet of the facility. He is thinking of a larger accident when a hurricane comes through. He said when a hurricane comes through and there is no power for a long time, there will be a release. When that release occurs in a navigation waterway and fishery area, you will have a lot of damage. He can donate his time for free.

**Kay McCaw, who lives in the area, said she was sworn in.** Ms. McCaw said she lives 4 houses away from this site. She would like to know how long this might go on. They are concerned about air, soil, dust and the shore line.

***There being no further requests to speak for or against the petition, Mr. Fix moved to close the public comments, seconded by Mr. Doner. The public comments was closed with a unanimous vote.***

### **Rebuttal**

**Mr. Croyle** said this site, in order to get it approved through the building dept., we have to meet current flood elevation requirements. In addition, we have to go above and beyond because it is a critical facility and it supplies water. The booster station and waste water lift station get permitted through the FL. Dept. of Environmental Protection. Any endangered species like the gopher, this all gets handled

through the County. This project may take one to one-half years to build the site. If a hurricane came in or storm surge flood, if it is at elevation 12, just about every house would be under water. This is a big site, it is an acre.

**Mr. Dunn** said this current project has a staging area for the equipment. The agreement has been extended until August of 2023.

There was discussion about environmental assessments, the restoration when the new project is completed and a possible timeline.

Elizabeth Nocheck presented the recommended conditions for the petition.

#### **Board Member Comments and Questions**

None

***ACTION: A motion was presented by Larry Fix and seconded by John Doner that Petition SE-22-015 be APPROVED based on the Community Development Staff Report dated August 3, 2022, the evidence and testimony presented at the hearing and finding that the applicant HAS MET the required criteria for the granting of the Special Exception with five conditions recommended by staff.***

#### ***Motion was approved with a unanimous vote with the following five conditions:***

1. The special exception, as approved by the Board of Zoning Appeals, is to allow an essential service, consisting of a potable water booster pump station and wastewater lift station, and extends only to the lands included in the Site Plans and legal description submitted with this application.
2. The Site Plans submitted by the applicant, as part of the petition, are for illustrative purposes only. All applicable regulations of County Code shall apply to this development. The applicant shall obtain all necessary permits and approvals as applicable to this development, including but not limited to Site Plan Review, right-of-way access, vegetation removal, fencing, stormwater management, and landscape plan approval.
3. A minimum of a "Type B" landscape buffer with a six-foot-tall sight-obscuring fence or wall shall be constructed and planted around the perimeter of the development area, as shown on the Landscape Plan (Exhibit K) submitted by the applicant. All buffers and landscaping shall be installed prior to the Certificate of Occupancy being granted.
4. This Special Exception is granted for a term of five years from the date of approval from the Board of Zoning Appeals; however, the Special Exception shall not expire if the owner commences the proposed development on or before the Special Exception's term expires.
5. Any major changes or additions to this special exception shall require a modification of the special exception. Minor changes or additions, such as accessory uses or structures, may be approved by the Zoning Official.

#### **VAR-22-008**

Peter & Sandra O'Malley are requesting a variance to reduce the required 7.5-foot side yard setback by 7.5-feet to allow a 0-foot side yard setback, to allow an existing detached garage to remain "as-is", in the Residential Single Family-3.5 (RSF-3.5) zoning district. The property is located at 6640 Riverside Drive, Punta Gorda, and is described as Block 15 and portions of Blocks 14 and 16, of the North Cleveland Subdivision, located in Section 26, Township 40 South, Range 23 East.

Elizabeth Nocheck read into the record the staff report and staff findings for the petition.

There was some discussion about possibly having another residence on the site and/or if a business could be in that garage.

#### **Applicant Presentation**

**Sandra O'Malley, applicant, said she was sworn in. Ms. O'Malley** said the garage will just be for storage. They will not have anyone sleeping in there or running a business out of there.

***Chair McVety opened the meeting to Public Comments.***

#### **Public Input**

**No one spoke for or against this request.**

***There being no further requests to speak for or against the petition, Mr. Fix moved to close the public comments, seconded by Mr. Vieira. The public comments was closed with a unanimous vote.***

Elizabeth Nocheck presented the recommended conditions for the petition.

#### **Board Member Comments and Questions**

None

***ACTION: A motion was presented by William Abbatematteo and seconded by Larry Fix that Petition VAR-22-008 be APPROVED based on the Community Development Staff Report dated August 3, 2022, the evidence and testimony presented at the hearing and finding that the applicant HAS MET the required criteria for the granting of the Variance with three conditions recommended by staff.***

***Motion was approved with a unanimous vote with the following three conditions:***

1. The variance, as approved by the Board of Zoning Appeals, is to reduce the required 7.5-foot west interior side yard setback by 7.5-feet to allow a 0-foot west interior side yard setback, to allow an existing detached garage to remain "as-is".
2. The variance shall only apply to the existing detached garage, as shown in the documents submitted with this application.
3. If the existing detached garage is removed or replaced, this variance shall expire and all future development must be constructed according to all applicable codes in existence at that time, unless a new variance is granted specific to the development proposed at that time. This condition shall not apply to removal or replacement caused by a natural disaster or involuntary destruction of the existing detached garage.

#### **VAR-22-009**

Jami and Shane Hess are requesting two variances: **(a)** to reduce the required 27.5-foot side yard setback by 20 feet to allow a 7.5-foot side yard setback, and **(b)** to reduce the required 30-foot rear yard setback by 20 feet to allow a 10-foot rear yard setback, for a new 16-foot-tall, detached garage, in the Manufactured Home Conventional (MHC) zoning district. The property is located at 8490 Roosevelt Street, Englewood, and is described as Lot 17, of the Groveland Subdivision, located in Section 21, Township 41 South, Range 20 East.



Elizabeth Nocheck read into the record the staff report and staff findings for the petition.

There was some discussion about when does a hardship apply, if it's the applicant's cause, and the balance of saving the current trees over what is requested.

#### **Applicant Presentation**

**Jami Hess, applicant**, said she was sworn in. **Ms. Hess** said the size of the garage is large because they are hoping they will not need any other sheds or structures on the property. They would like to clean up the site and make it look nicer. This will have lawn equipment and other items in it. She did tell the neighbors and they did not have a problem with this. She had an email from one of the neighbors who was fine with it.

**Mr. Doner** asked why the large building is high on both sides if there is only one RV?

**Mr. Hess** said it is the way this one comes. If they asked to have one side not as tall, it would cost more money to change the "standard" garage of this size.

#### **Public Input**

**No one spoke for or against this request.**

*There being no further requests to speak for or against the petition, Mr. Fix moved to close the public comments, seconded by Mr. Vieira. The public comments was closed with a unanimous vote.*

Elizabeth Nocheck presented the recommended conditions for the petition.

#### **Board Member Comments and Questions**

**None**

***ACTION:*** *A motion was presented by William Abbatematteo and seconded by Larry Fix that Petition VAR-22-009 be APPROVED based on the Community Development Staff Report dated August 3, 2022, the evidence and testimony presented at the hearing and finding that the applicant HAS MET the required criteria for the granting of the Variance with four conditions recommended by staff.*

***Motion was approved with a unanimous vote with the following four conditions:***

1. The two variances, as approved by the Board of Zoning Appeals, are to (a) reduce the required 27.5-foot west interior side yard setback by 20 feet to allow a 7.5-foot west interior side yard setback and (b) to reduce the required 30-foot rear yard setback by 20 feet to allow a 10-foot rear yard setback, to allow a new 16-foot-tall, detached garage.
2. The variances shall only apply to the proposed 16-foot-tall, detached garage, as shown in the documents submitted with this application.
3. These variances are granted for a term of five (5) years from the date of approval from the Board of Zoning Appeals; however, the variances shall not expire if the owner commences the proposed development on or before the variances' term expires.
4. If the detached garage is removed or replaced, this variance shall expire and all future development must be constructed according to all applicable codes in existence at that time, unless a new variance is granted specific to the development proposed at that time. This

condition shall not apply to removal or replacement caused by a natural disaster or involuntary destruction of the detached garage.

**Mr. Abbatematteo had to leave (12:50 pm) had a Dr. appt.**

**VAR-22-010**

Diana Gillis, representative for Gay Burns, is requesting a variance to reduce the required 7.5-foot side yard setback by 3.5 feet to allow a 4-foot side yard setback for a new carport, in the Residential Single Family-3.5 (RSF-3.5) zoning district. The property is located at 21448 Eldred Avenue, Port Charlotte, and is described as Lot 3, Block 1410, of the Port Charlotte Subdivision, Section 27, located in Section 10, Township 40 South, Range 22 East.

Elizabeth Nocheck read into the record the staff report and staff findings for the petition.

**Applicant Presentation**

**Diana Gillis, who represented the applicant,** said she was sworn in. **Ms. Gillis** said the applicants approached them to build a carport facility so he can get in from the side door of the garage (he has an illness). He uses a walker and if it is raining hard, it is much easier to get out of the garage door, covered with the carport and right into the car. Everything else has been explained.

***Chair McVety opened the meeting to Public Comments.***

**Public Input**

**No one spoke for or against this request.**

***There being no further requests to speak for or against the petition, Mr. Fix moved to close the public comments, seconded by Mr. Vieira. The public comments was closed with a unanimous vote.***

Elizabeth Nocheck presented the recommended conditions for the petition.

**Board Member Comments and Questions**

None

***ACTION: A motion was presented by Steve Vieira and seconded by John Doner that Petition VAR-22-010 be APPROVED based on the Community Development Staff Report dated August 3, 2022, the evidence and testimony presented at the hearing and finding that the applicant HAS MET the required criteria for the granting of the Variance with four conditions recommended by staff.***

***Motion was approved with a 3 to 1 vote with the following four conditions:  
(Mr. Fix voted against this request)***

1. The variance, as approved by the Board of Zoning Appeals, is to reduce the required 7.5-foot west interior side yard setback by 3.5 feet to allow a 4-foot west interior side yard setback, for a new carport.
2. The variance shall only apply to the proposed carport, as shown in the documents submitted with this application. The variance shall also allow for the placement of a concrete slab to extend the driveway underneath the proposed carport.

3. This variance is granted for a term of five (5) years from the date of approval from the Board of Zoning Appeals; however, the variance shall not expire if the owner commences the proposed development on or before the variance's term expires.
4. If the carport is removed or replaced, this variance shall expire and all future development must be constructed according to all applicable codes in existence at that time, unless a new variance is granted specific to the development proposed at that time. This condition shall not apply to removal or replacement caused by a natural disaster or involuntary destruction of the carport.

**IX. Public Comments –**  
None

**X. Staff Comments –**

**Asst. Co. Attorney David** said a few months back, there was a little bit of a wild meeting. The citizens saw 4 members attending and during the meeting one member left. They feel if a full board was in attendance, maybe the outcome might have been different. He just asks the Board to call their Commissioner to say you will be out, so it is an excused absence. Otherwise, this could be an issue.

**Mr. Vieira** said during the tower meeting, he was here for the whole presentation. He left during public hearing. He would have voted in favor of the applicant, so the vote might have been 3 to 1 not 2 to 1. He was also here for the next meeting when they asked for reconsideration of hearing that meeting again, he said he was at that meeting and did not vote to bring it back.

**XI. Member Comments –**  
None

**XII. Next Meeting**

*The next meeting of the Board of Zoning Appeals is scheduled for **Wednesday, September 14, 2022 at 9:00 a.m., in Room 119.***

There being no further business, the meeting **ADJOURNED** at 1:12 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,  
Diane Clim, Recorder  
/dlc

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Blair McVety, Chair

Approval Date: 9-14-2022