BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CHARLOTTE COUNTY, FLORIDA

In Re: Petition of LITTLE GASPARILLA WATER	Docket No. 2011-002-W
UTILITY, INC. for adjustment of water rates, fees and	
Charges	

Hearing Examiner: Jeffrey S. Russell, Esq.

RECOMMENDED ORDER

This matter came before the undersigned, as the Hearing Examiner duly-appointed under the provisions of Charlotte County Code Section No. 1-10-342. The parties to this proceeding are the Budget Department of Charlotte County, Florida ("Staff"), and Little Gasparilla Water Utility, Inc. (the "Utility").

1. <u>SUMMARY</u>.

This is a Staff-Assisted Rate Case pursuant to Section 3-8-55.1 of the Charlotte County Code and the Utility, in requesting staff assistance, has agreed to accept the final rates and charges approved by the Board of County Commissioners (the "Board"). Applicant and Staff entered into a Proposed Stipulation, a copy of which is annexed hereto as Exhibit 1 (the "Stipulation"). Exhibit A of the Stipulation (the "County Rate Study") was prepared by Public Resources Management Group, Inc., acting as Staff's rate consultant, and contains the recommended rates, fees and charges for the Utility.

Based on the Stipulation and testimony presented at the public hearing, and in the absence of any competent, substantial evidence to the contrary, I find that the stipulated water rates, fees and charges as contained in the County Rate Study are just, reasonable, compensatory and not unfairly discriminatory.

It is therefore my recommendation that the Board adopt a resolution approving the County Rate Study and approve the stipulated water rates, fees and charges set forth therein as those authorized for the Utility.

2. <u>JURISDICTION</u>.

The Utility is the holder of a valid water service certificate granted by the Board pursuant to Section 3-8-30 of the Charlotte County Code. Pursuant to Section 3-8-11 of the Charlotte County Code, the Board has regulatory authority over each utility within unincorporated Charlotte County. The Utility operates pursuant to Certificate Number 802-W issued by Charlotte County on August 12, 2008 (Exhibit S-2).

3. PROCEDURAL HISTORY.

Pursuant to Section 3-8-55.1 of the Charlotte County Code, on October 3, 2011, the Utility filed an application for a staff-assisted rate case seeking an increase in its water rates (Exhibit S-3). Staff determined that the Utility had met the requirements of Section 3-8-55.1 of the Charlotte County Code.

On May 8, 2012, the undersigned was appointed by the Board as the Hearing Examiner in this matter. On May 10, 2012, the undersigned issued a Prehearing Order setting forth the procedures that would be followed at the public hearing.

On May 23, 2012, a duly advertised public hearing was held at 3:00 P.M. Following the presentations made at the public hearing, the hearing was continued until 6:00 P.M. to allow an opportunity for additional customer testimony.

4. EXHIBITS.

The following Exhibits were accepted into evidence in this proceeding:

A. Public Exhibit:

Exhibit P-1 – Publisher's Affidavit of Publication /Sun Newspapers

- B. Staff Exhibits:
 - S-1 Charlotte County Resolution 2007-143
 - S-2 (composite) Memo from Roger Davis to Raymond J. Sandrock regarding certification of the Utility, Charlotte County Resolution 2008-094 and Certificate Number 802-W
 - S-3 Utility Application for Staff Assisted Rate Case
 - S-4 (composite) Little Gasparilla Quality of Service Report/Customer Meeting, Sign-in Sheets from Customer Meeting and 2010 Annual Drinking Water Quality Report
 - S-5 (composite) Resume of Jeffrey M. Wilson, Written Testimony of Jeffrey M. Wilson and Staff Assisted Rate Study for Little Gasparilla Water Utility, Inc. Prepared by Public Resource Management Group, Inc.
 - S-6 (filed post-hearing) Letter from Roger Davis and corrected schedule of current charges for the Utility. Exhibits S-5 and J-1 are amended accordingly)
- C. Utility Exhibits:
 - U-1 Customer Complaint Log
 - U-2 Affidavit of Mailing of Initial Customer Notice and Notice of Customer Meeting
 - U-3 Affidavit of Mailing of Customer Public Hearing Notice

D. Joint Exhibit:

J-1 Proposed Stipulation between Charlotte County Staff and Little Gasparilla Water Utility, Inc., Exhibit "A" of which is the Staff Assisted Rate Study for Little Gasparilla Water Utility, Inc. Prepared by Public Resource Management Group, Inc.

5. WITNESSES AND TESTIMONY.

The following witnesses were proffered by Staff:

- A. Roger Davis. Mr. Davis is a Senior Financial Consultant and Regulatory Analyst for Charlotte County. He testified concerning the history of the Utility, the circumstances of its certification by Charlotte County, its current customer base and the anticipated future growth of its customer base. He indicated that approximately 95% of the Utility's customers are seasonal or occasional residents of Little Gasparilla Island and that there are very few full-time residents. The witness stated that, other than price index adjustments, the Utility had never obtained a rate increase since it began business in 1986 and that a rate increase for the Utility was necessary. Mr. Davis also testified concerning the customer meeting held on April 27, 2012 and indicated that 3 residents had attended. Customer comments at the meeting centered around service interruptions and damage to water lines and water-dependent appliances because of the perceived corrosive nature of the water and concentrations of sediment in the water. Mr. Davis noted that the water produced by the Utility meets and exceeds all applicable governmental requirements and also indicated that the Utility had committed to more frequent and comprehensive flushing procedures to deal with these issues (Composite Exhibit S-4).
- David Johnson. Mr. Johnson is a Financial Consultant II for Charlotte County. He B. testified on technical aspects of the Utility's operations. Mr. Johnson stated that in general the Utility's plant and lines were in good operation and repair and that he had made an inspection on the date of the public hearing, including testing of the water produced by the Utility's plant. He explained that in order to produce potable water, the Utility operates a desalinization plant which draws water from an on-site well for processing. Mr. Johnson indicated that the quality of the raw water is poor and has substantial salt content which is not an unusual situation for a utility operating on a barrier island. As to the quality of the potable water produced by the Utility he indicated that he had tested a sample on the date of the hearing and that it met all applicable governmental requirements for safe drinking water and did not appear to contain any rust or substantial sediments. He also testified that there were certain operational measures that the Utility could take in order to potentially reduce the issues concerning rust and sediments including more extensive and frequent flushing of the lines. Mr. Johnson noted that customers could alleviate these problems to some extent by utilizing an in-home filtration system. He stated that if the Utility could connect to a County water source, the potential mixing of the County water and the Utility-produced water could alleviate these issues but he also testified that an interconnection may well be cost-prohibitive for the customers. In response to a question from the Hearing Examiner, Mr. Johnson indicted that there are approximately 150 homes within the service territory of the Utility that do not receive water service from the Utility. Additionally, there are 7 homes that made application to the Utility for service but never connected to the water system after receiving building permit approval from the County. Connection of these un-serviced homes to the Utility's water system would produce a substantial revenue benefit to the Utility and would have the effect of reducing the rates of the

Utility because of the larger customer base. Mr. Johnson testified that under the applicable ordinances of Charlotte County, these un-serviced homes are required to connect to the Utility's water system.

C. Jeffrey M. Wilson. Mr. Wilson is a Supervising Consultant with Public Resources Management Group, Inc., the County's rate consultant, and was accepted by the Hearing Examiner as an expert in the area of rate analysis and rate structure. He testified that the rates, fees and charges set forth in the County Rate Study were just, reasonable and equitable and non-discriminatory. He noted that the rate of return for the Utility as contained in the County Rate Study was 7.84% which is the rate of return currently being utilized by the Florida Public Service Commission. Mr. Wilson testified that the proposed rate increase was necessary for the Utility to be able to continue to operate, that it had, in prior years, lost substantial amounts of money because of inadequate rates and failure to account for expenses and that in his opinion the proposed rate adjustments as contained in the County Rate Study were justified.

The following witness was proffered by the Utility:

James R. Boyer. Mr. Boyer is the Chief Operating Officer of the Utility. He confirmed the prior testimony that the Utility had never received a rate adjustment except for price-indexing increases. He also acknowledged that he would, in the future, flush the Utility's lines on a monthly as opposed to a quarterly basis and would investigate other remedial measures relative to the issues raised by customers concerning rust and sediment in the water, including the potential of valve replacement. He did note, however, that he had not received, until the customer meeting, any complaints from customers concerning these conditions. The customer complaint log (Exhibit U-1) indicates that the only complaints directed to the Utility generally concerned questions concerning meter readings and billing. With respect to the operation of the Utility, Mr. Boyer noted the raw water source for the Utility is poor and requires extensive treatment in order to produce potable water. It was indicated that the reject brine is on a 1 to 1 basis with potable water, that is, for every 2 gallons of water processed, only 1 gallon of potable water is produced. This is a very high rejection ratio. Mr. Boyer also noted that from an operational viewpoint, part of the problem is that water demand tends to occur on weekends, holidays at other specific portions of the year when customers are in residence and demand is very low at other times. This could, he believed, explain in part the existence of sediment in the water because of fluctuations in line pressures.

The following witnesses were customers of the Utility:

- A. Carole Songey. Ms. Songey indicated that she did not oppose the rate increase but had concerns relative to sediments and other materials in the water. She produced filters which are used in her home filtration system which evidenced rust and sedimentary materials and she indicted that she has to change these filters on a regular basis. Ms. Songey questioned why, since the testing done at the water plant, shows no rust or sediment, those items appear when the water reaches her residence.
- B. Frank and Anita Burger. Mr. and Mrs. Burger had no objection to the rate increase but related that their water heaters last less than a year and in one case the heating element burned our after only 4 months. They have flushed their water heaters on a regular basis and in one case, it

took 4 hours to get rid of the brown water that was being flushed. Mr. Burger stated that there has been no flushing of the lines at their residence recently.

- C. Janet Stanke. Ms. Stanke is a full time resident and related that she has issues not only with her water heater but her washer and other appliances that use water. She stated that she had to replace appliances approximately ever 2 years. She also testified that residents of the island who are not on the Utility's water system and use wells or cisterns do not experience these problems. Ms. Stanke indicated that she was not aware that the County regulated the Utility and previously did not know where she should lodge her complaints concerning the quality of the Utility's water. She suggested that implementation of new rates be postponed until the sediment issues were resolved.
- 6. <u>THE STIPULATION</u>. The Stipulation was jointly submitted by Staff and the Utility and was accepted as part of the record of these proceedings.
- 7. QUALITY OF SERVICE ISSUES. While all of the testimony given at the public hearing evidences the fact that the potable water produced by the Utility exceeds the applicable standards, it would appear from the testimony of the customers that there are issues with sediments and other corrosive elements in the water. This is not an unusual circumstance given the location of the Utility and its source of raw water. It would also appear that these issues can be alleviated, at least in part, by more frequent flushing of the Utility's lines, especially in for those residences that are at the end of a water line segment. The Utility has undertaken to implement a more aggressive flushing program on an ongoing basis and there was substantial, competent testimony to the effect that the Utility was unaware of the magnitude of the problem because of lack of complaints from customers.

It is permissible to reduce otherwise justified rates or curtail their implementation when serious quality of service issues are found to exist. In this case, the Hearing Examiner does not believe that any penalty is warranted. This Utility has not had a rate increase since 1986 and the financial information presented at the public hearing makes it clear that an increase is necessary to preserve the financial stability of the Utility. The Utility appears to be well managed and to meet all water quality standards.

As to the issues raised concerning quality of service, it is suggested that:

- A. That in a future billing to customers, the Utility notify customers (in a form approved by Staff) as to how and where a customer can file a complaint with the Utility and how a customer can contact the appropriate party for Charlotte County to register such a complaint.
- B. That in any future rate proceeding consideration be given as to whether the Utility has implemented the remedial measures discussed above and, if implementation has not occurred, then consideration should be given to imposition of a penalty.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- A. The Utility is the holder of a valid water service certificate granted by the Board pursuant to Section 3-8-30 of the Charlotte County Code.
- B. The Utility met the minimum filing requirements for a Staff-Assisted Rate Case as set forth in Section 3-8-55.1 of the Charlotte County Code.

- C. Currently, the revenues received by the Utility do not equal its reasonable operating expenses and a reasonable return on its rate base. The Utility is presently operating at a loss. An increase in the rates, fees and charges for the Utility is necessary for the financial stability of the Utility and to allow it to operate on a profitable basis. The failure of the Utility to operate on a profitable basis is due in large part to the fact that the Utility has not previously requested an overall adjustment to its rates, fees and charges in order to reflect increased costs experienced by the Utility.
- D. The substantial, competent testimony presented by Staff and its consultant, the Utility and those customers who participated in the public hearing establish that the rates, fees and charges for the Utility as set forth in the County Rate Study are just and reasonable and are not discriminatory.
- E. The Utility's quality of service is generally satisfactory. Additional actions can be taken by the Utility which may have the effect of improving the quality of the potable water utilized by customers of the Utility.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. The Utility met the minimum filing requirements for a Staff-Assisted Rate Case as set forth in Section 3-8-55.1 of the Charlotte County Code.
- B. The public hearing in this matter was duly noticed in accordance with Section 3-8-45(d) of the Charlotte County Code.
- C. The public hearing in this matter was conducted in accordance with Sections 3-8-67 through 3-8-71, inclusive, of the Charlotte County Code.
- D. The rates contained in the County Rate Study are consistent with the requirements of the applicable provisions of Sections 3-8-45 through 3-8-64 of the Charlotte County Code.

HEARING EXAMINER'S RECOMMENDATION

On the basis of the Stipulation between the parties and the substantial, competent testimony and documentary evidence presented at the public hearing, it is the Hearing Examiner's recommendation that the Board of County Commissioners of Charlotte County, Florida adopt a resolution approving the rates, fees and charges for the Utility as set forth in County Rate Study, Exhibit 1 to the Stipulation.

Respectfully submitted on May 29, 2012.

Jeffrey S. Russell, Esq

Hearing Examine

Copies to: Martha Young Burton, Esq.

Charlotte County Attorney's Office

Jack Boyer Little Gasparilla Water Utility, Inc.

Joanne Lansing, Commission Minutes Supervisor Clerk, Board of County Commissioners

EXHIBIT 1 Stipulation Agreement



BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CHARLOTTE COUNTY, FLORIDA

IN RE: Application of Little Gasparilla Water Utility, Inc. for Staff Assisted Rate Case

DOCKET NO. 2011-02-W

PROPOSED STIPULATION

Pursuant to Chapter 3-8 Article II, Charlotte County Code, and Charlotte County Ordinance No. 93-44, the parties to this proceeding, Little Gasparilla Water Utility, Inc. ("Utility") and Charlotte County ("County"), a political subdivision of the State of Florida, enter into the following Stipulation regarding the Rate Application ("Application") filed by Utility on October 3, 2011, for a Staff Assisted Rate Case. The parties agree that the following facts apply to this Rate Application:

- 1. Utility has provided potable water service in its franchised service area since 1991 and since that time has not applied for a rate increase, except for periodic allowed indexing adjustments.
- 2. Utility filed its Application containing Minimum Filing Requirements on October 3, 2011.
- 3. County retained a rate consultant who performed field work investigation regarding said Application in March, April, and May 2012, and prepared the Staff

Assisted Rate Study attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference.

4. Both during the time that said field work was performed and subsequently, County's consultant and staff and Utility's owner met to discuss the various issues pertaining to said Application in order to determine whether a Stipulation could be reached regarding the significant issues relevant to said Application.

5. Through the date of this Stipulation, there are no intervenors in this proceeding.

UTILITY AND COUNTY HAVING REACHED an Agreement, said Agreement is set forth below:

6. For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2012, Utility and County hereby stipulate to the rates set fourth in Exhibit "A," attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. The parties are stipulating to these rates and not to the specific resolution of issues or methodology utilized by the County consultant in measuring the resulting rates, and the County consultant's recommendations have been addressed in the proposed rates.

For County:

Martha Young Button, Esq. Assistant County Attorney

Florida Bar No.: 0398179

18500 Murdock Circle

Port Charlotte, FL 33948

Tel.: (941) 743-1330 Fax No.: (941)743-1550

John R. Boyer

Little Gasparilla Water Utility, Inc.

P.O. Box 5159

Grove City, FL 34224

Tel: (941) 697-4002

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished via email to the names on the following parties this day of May, 2012.

Martha Young Burton, Esq.

Hearing Officer:

Jeffrey S. Russell **Hearing Officer** Shumaker, Loop & Kendrick, LLP 240 S. Pineapple Blvd. Sarasota, FL 34236 Email: JRussell@slk-law.com Telephone: 941-364-2703

Little Gasparilla Water Utility, Inc.

John R. Boyer Little Gasparilla Water Utility Inc. P.O. Box 5159 Grove City, FL 34224 E-mail: Lgwu7777@yahoo.com

Telephone: 941-681-2777

Counsel for Charlotte County:

Martha Young Burton, Assistant County Attorney Charlotte County Attorney's Office 18500 Murdock Circle Port Charlotte, FL 33948 E-mail: marty.burton@charlottefl.com

Telephone: 941-743-1330

Charlotte County Staff:

Roger Davis

Sr. Financial Consultant

Budget & Administrative Services

18500 Murdock Circle, Port Charlotte, FL 33948

Phone: 941.743.1542 Fax: 941.743.1286

Roger.Davis@charlottefl.com

David B. Johnston

Financial Consultant II

Fiscal Services Division

Budget & Administrative Services

18500 Murdock Circle, Suite B 109

Port Charlotte Florida 33948

Phone:

941.743.1524

Fax:

941.743.1286

David.Johnston@charlottefl.com

Gordon Burger Budget Director Budget & Administrative Services 18500 Murdock Circle, Suite B 109

Port Charlotte Florida 33948

Phone:

941.743.1248

Gordon.Burger@charlottefl.com

P:\WPDATA\BURTON\Regulated Utilities\Little Gasparilla Staff Assisted Rate Case 2012\proposed.stip.doc LR 2011-1593 / May 23, 2012



Located in Charlotte County, Florida



STAFF ASSISTED RATE STUDY

For the Test Year Ending December 31, 2010

April 9, 2012

April 9, 2012

Mr. Roger Davis Charlotte County Government Budget & Administrative Services 18500 Murdock Circle Port Charlotte, Florida 33948

Subject: Little Gasparilla Staff Assisted Rate Study-Test Year Ending December 31, 2010

Dear Mr. Davis:

Public Resources Management Group, Inc. (PRMG), on behalf of the Charlotte County Budget and Administrative Services Department (the "County"), has prepared a Consultant Report on the Water Rate Adjustment (the "Report") for the Little Gasparilla Water Utility Inc. (the "Company"), which operates the investor-owned public water utility located in Charlotte County known as Little Gasparilla Water Utility Inc., (the "Utility"). The purpose of the evaluation was to determine if the water rates for service were reasonable and justifiable based on the information provided by the Company.

With respect to the Report, we relied upon i) financial and statistical information provided by or made available by the Company, including financial statements, accounting records, customer and expense analyses and other supporting information; and ii) County ordinances, general ratemaking practices used by the Florida Public Service Commission, and other information available to PRMG and the County.

Since the accompanying analyses and calculations (as shown on the Tables included at the end of the Report) were predicated in part upon estimates and assumptions, the reliability is dependent upon future events and transactions. As such, this Report should not be considered as an audit or review of financial statements of the Company involving the application of generally accepted auditing standards, and we are precluded from expressing an independent auditor's opinion as to this rate evaluation. We have no responsibility to update this Report for events and circumstances becoming known to us or occurring after the date of this Report.

Mr. Roger Davis Charlotte County Government Budget & Administrative Services April 9, 2012 Page 2

We appreciate the fine cooperation and assistance provided by the County in the review of the Company's financial information and with the preparation of this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Public Resources Management Group, Inc.

Jeffrey M. Wilson
Supervising Consultant

Attachments

STAFF ASSISTED RATE STUDY FOR THE TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	Page No.
Letter of Transmittal	
Table of Contents	i
List of Tables	ii
OVERVIEW	2
RECOMMENDED WATER RATE ADJUSTMENTS	3
Company Investment – Rate Base	3
Operating Expenses	
Rate of Return	5
RATE DESIGN – MONTHLY USER CHARGES	5
Miscellaneous Service Charges	6
SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED RATES AND FEES	6

STAFF ASSISTED RATE STUDY FOR THE TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Description
1	Current and Proposed Rates
1A	Miscellaneous Service Charges
2	Rate Comparison
3	Recommended Revenue Requirements
4	Determination of Rate Base – Water
5	Water System Plant in Service
6	Water System Accumulated Depreciation
7	Water System Plant in Service – Build-up Since Last Rate Case
8	Water System Accumulated Depreciation - Build-up Since Last Rate Case
9	Water System Contributions In Aid of Construction – Build-up Since Last Rate Case
10	Water System Accumulated Amortization of CIAC - Build-up Since Last Rate Case
11	Working Capital Allowance
12	Cost of Capital / Rate of Return for the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010
13	Schedule of Adjustments to Rate Base
14	Constructed Income Statement – Sewer
15	Water Operations and Maintenance Expense - Test Year Expenses
16	Water Operations and Maintenance Expense – Benchmark Analysis Adjustments
17	Comparable Small System Management Costs
18	Water Operations and Maintenance Expense - Adjustment To Test Year Expenses
19	Income Tax Calculation and System Revenue Requirements
20	Taxes Other than Income
21	Adjustments to Operating Income
22	Calculation of Revenue Requirements - Water
23	Billing Determinants – Water
24	Rate Design – Water

STAFF ASSISTED RATE STUDY FOR THE TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

OVERVIEW

Little Gasparilla Water Utility Inc., (the "Company" or "Utility") has requested that the County on behalf of the Utility prepare a Staff Assisted Rate Filing to establish monthly water charges adequate to ensure they properly recover the allowed rate of return on investment of the Utility. The Company currently owns a water utility system located in and under the jurisdiction (establishment of rates) of Charlotte County (the "County"). The Company has not had a significant increase to it's rates for service for over thirteen years. During that time the Company has made significant investment in the water treatment facilities in the form of upgrades, renewals and replacements and maintenance. The Company has also experienced increased cost of operations that have yet to be requested to be recovered from customers through their monthly user charges.

The determination of the water rates recommended for the Utility has been developed using a rate-making basis known as the rate base/rate of return approach. This approach is generally used for the review of rates for investor-owned utility systems by the County. Under this approach, the rates for service permitted for the Utility would generally include the summation of the following revenue requirements:

- 1. Operation and maintenance expenses; plus
- 2. Depreciation expense on utility plant in service allocable to the customers, less the amortization of contributed plant made on behalf of the Utility by others than the owners of the Utility; plus
- 3. Taxes, including income taxes; plus
- 4. Other revenue requirements permitted to be recognized (e.g., amortization of extraordinary property loss due to regulatory action); plus
- 5. A fair return on the investment made by the Company in the Utility; less
- 6. Other utility operating revenues, if any, which accrue to the benefit of the Company from the operations of the Utility.

PRMG reviewed the Company's financial and customer records, has recommended changes to the Company's existing rates, and has prepared this report of such recommendations (the "Report") for consideration by the County.

RECOMMENDED WATER RATE ADJUSTMENTS

This Report includes an analysis and evaluation of the Utility's historical operating results and the resulting consultant recommendations. Based on a review of the Utility's 2010 Annual Report and other financial information provided by the Company, PRMG has determined a proposed water rate increase of 29.98% as being justifiable and reasonable and is being recommended for approval by the County. By requesting the staff-assisted rate adjustment process allowed by County ordinances, the Company agrees to accept the final rates and charges approved by the Board, and may not appeal the Board's decision. Table 3 at the end of this report summarizes the recommended overall water rate adjustments, the financial results of which are also summarized below:

	Recommended
Description	Water
(a)	(b)
Total Test Year System Revenue Requirements	\$265,785
Total Test Year System Rate Revenues Based on Current Rates	204,479
Miscellaneous Revenues	
Total Test Year System Revenues at Present Rates	204,479
Proposed Test Year Additional Revenue Requirement	\$61,306
Percentage Increase to Total Test Year Rate Revenues	29.98%

The remainder of the Report provides a discussion of the evaluation of the Utility's historical operating results by PRMG as it relates to the development of the recommended water rates.

Company Investment - Rate Base

Table 4 at the end of this Report provides a summary of the historical Company-reported and consultant-recommended rate base (investment) for the water utility. The recommended rate base served as the basis for the determination of the rate of return to be earned by the Company, which is a component of the revenue requirements to be recovered from rates by the Company. A summary of all consultant- recommended rate base adjustments is also included on Table 4 for the water utility.

Based on i) information contained in the Annual Reports previously filed by the Company and ii) the recognition of depreciation accrual rates as adopted by the Company, which are consistent with County Ordinances and Rules and Regulations, the rate base for the water system recommended, or the determination of the Company's rate of return, is as follows:

Water Rate Base

For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010				
	As Reported by	PRMG	PRMG	
	Company [1]	Adjustments [2]	Recommendations	
Gross Plant in Service	\$1,421,050	\$0	\$1,421,050	
Less Accumulated Depreciation	<u>(552,161)</u>	<u>717</u>	<u>(551,444)</u>	
Net Plant in Service	868,889	717	869,606	
Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction	(590,600)	0	(590,600)	
Less Amortization of CIAC	<u>113,776</u>	<u>(2,374)</u>	<u>111,402</u>	
Net Contributions in Aid of Const.	(476,824)	(2,374)	(479,198)	
Provision for Working Capital [3]	<u>0</u>	20,591	20,591	
Rate Base	<u>\$392,065</u>	<u>\$18,935</u>	<u>\$411,000</u>	

^[1] As filed by Company per 2010 Annual Report.

Operating Expenses

Table 14 at the end of this Report provides a summary of the recommended expenses for the water system, which is included in the development of the revenue requirements in support of the recommended rates. The development of the Test Year operating expenses includes both the direct cost of operating and maintaining the Utility (e.g., power and chemicals), as well as depreciation expense on utility plants, net of amortization of Contributions-in-aid-of-Construction (CIAC), tax-related expenses, the amortization of other deferred debits, and other related expenses. A summary of all the recommended operation and maintenance expense adjustments and other operating expense adjustments are also included on Table 14.

The operating expenses recommended to be included in the revenue requirements for rate determination purposes for the individual water system is as follows:

(Remainder of page intentionally left blank)

^[2] Adjustments recommended by PRMG based on review of financial and accounting records. Reference Table 4 for details and adjustment descriptions.

^[3] Based on 1/8 of Operating Expenses.

Water System Operating Expenses For the Test Year Period Ended December 31, 2010

	As Reported by	PRMG	PRMG
	Company [1]	Adjustments [2]	Recommendations
Operation & Maintenance Expenses	\$158,662	6,068	\$164,730
Depreciation Expenses	55,430	(513)	54,917
Less CIAC Amortization	(17,808)	186	(17,622)
Amortization of Acquisition Cost	741	(741)	0
Amortization of Other Deferred Debits	0	0	0
Property Taxes	40,216	(8,753)	31,463
Income Taxes	<u>0</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>70</u>
Total Operating Expenses	\$237,241	<u>(\$3,684)</u>	<u>\$233,557</u>

^[1] As reported by Company per 2010 Annual Report.

Rate of Return

The County currently uses the leverage formula as adopted by the Florida Public Service Commission in the development of the return on equity. The leverage formula referenced in Order No. PSC-11-0287-PAA-WS as published by the FPSC was used in the determination of the Company's return on equity. Based on the recommended rate base and the rate of return, the overall return allowed for the water system is summarized below:

Water Rate of Return For the Test Year Period Ended December 31, 2010

	As Reported by	PRMG	PRMG
	Company [1]	Adjustments [2]	Recommendations
Rate Base Rate of Return Company Return on Investment	\$392,065	<u>\$18,935</u>	\$411,000 7.84% \$32,228

^[1] As reported by Company per 2010 Annual Report.

RATE DESIGN - MONTHLY USER CHARGES

The development of the proposed or recommended rates for water service is developed on Tables 22 through 24 at the end of this Report. Included on Tables 22 and 24 for the water system is the allocation of the Pro Forma Year Ending June 30, 2010 net revenue requirements to the rate structure components.

The recommended rates include the same general rate structure as the current rates for service. The proposed rates are developed on Table 24 for the Water System.

^[2] Adjustments recommended by PRMG based on review of financial and accounting records. Reference Table 14 for details and adjustment descriptions.

^[2] Adjustments recommended by PRMG based on review of financial and accounting records. Reference Table 4 regarding the water rate base calculation for details and adjustment descriptions.

In order to illustrate the effects of the proposed rates on the various Utilities customers, a comparison of the monthly bills recognizing the current rates, the Company's filed rates, and the recommended rates was prepared. This comparison is included on Table 2.

Miscellaneous Service Charges

The Company has requested and PRMG also agrees that certain miscellaneous charges for customer-specific requested services need to be recognized to reflect the current estimated cost of providing these services. Table 1A at the end of this report provides a summary of the Consultant recommended miscellaneous service charges.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED RATES AND FEES

A summary of the recommended rates, fees, and charges based on the analysis conducted by PRMG on behalf of County staff and based on the financial and statistical information for the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010 is included on Tables 1 and Tables 1A at the end of this Report.

(Remainder of page intentionally left blank)

STAFF ASSISTED RATE STUDY FOR THE TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Description
1	Current and Proposed Rates
1A	Miscellaneous Service Charges
2	Rate Comparison
3	Recommended Revenue Requirements
4	Determination of Rate Base – Water
5	Water System Plant in Service
6	Water System Accumulated Depreciation
7	Water System Plant in Service – Build-up Since Last Rate Case
8	Water System Accumulated Depreciation - Build-up Since Last Rate Case
9	Water System Contributions In Aid of Construction – Build-up Since Last Rate Case
10	Water System Accumulated Amortization of CIAC - Build-up Since Last Rate Case
11	Working Capital Allowance
12	Cost of Capital / Rate of Return for the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010
13	Schedule of Adjustments to Rate Base
14	Constructed Income Statement – Sewer
15	Water Operations and Maintenance Expense - Test Year Expenses
16	Water Operations and Maintenance Expense – Benchmark Analysis Adjustments
17	Comparable Small System Management Costs
18	Water Operations and Maintenance Expense - Adjustment To Test Year Expenses
19	Income Tax Calculation and System Revenue Requirements
20	Taxes Other than Income
21	Adjustments to Operating Income
22	Calculation of Revenue Requirements - Water
23	Billing Determinants – Water
24	Rate Design – Water

Present

Rates (1)

Little Gasparilla Water Utility

Water Utility

Line-

Current and Proposed Rates

For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

Redesigned Rates

No. Category

Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012

WATER

Page 1 of 1

_	Recommended		
	Adjusted	Source	_
	Rates	Schedule	
	(d)	(e)	
	\$49.80	Table 24	
	74 70	Table 24	

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
	Residential and General Service				
	Base Charge - Monthly (2)				
1	5/8 X 3/4 inch meter	Meter Size	\$37.27	\$49.80	Table 24
2	3/4 inch meter	Meter Size	37.27	74.70	Table 24
3	1 inch meter	Meter Size	96.75	124.50	Table 24
4	1 1/2 inch meter	Meter Size	97.76	249.00	Table 24
5	2 inch meter	Meter Size	195.49	398.40	Table 24
6	3 inch meter	Meter Size	312.77	796.80	Table 24
7	4 inch meter	Meter Size	586.48	1,245.00	Table 24
8	6 inch meter	Meter Size	977.45	2,490.00	Table 24
9	8 inch meter	Meter Size	1,965.91	3,984.00	Table 24
10	10 inch meter	Meter Size	\$3,127.88	5,727.00	Table 24
	Usage Charge - Residential and General	Service			
11	All Usage	1,000 Gallons	\$4.20	\$4.81	Table 24

Billing

Unit

⁽¹⁾ Company present rates reflect rates effective as of April 1, 2010.

⁽²⁾ Company actually bills customers quarterly but rates are based on monthly billing determinants.

Little Gasparilla Water Utility

Water Utility

Proposed Rates

For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

Miscellaneous Service Charges

Consultant Report

Dated: March 26, 2012

Page 1 of 1

PROPOSED WATER

		THOI OBED WITTER		
—No.	T-ype-Charge-	Bus. Hrs.	After-Hrs.	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	
	Water			
1	Initial Connection	\$20.00	\$40.00	
2	Normal Connection	\$20.00	\$40.00	
3	Violation Reconnection	\$20.00	\$40.00	
4	Premise Visit - Problem	\$20.00	\$40.00	
5	Premise Visit Disconnect or in lieu of disconnect	\$20.00	\$40.00	
6	Bench Test Meter - Deposit	\$20.00	\$20.00	
7	Field Test Meter - Deposit	\$20.00	\$20.00	
8	Returned Check Charge			
9	\$50.00 or less	\$25.00	\$25.00	
10	\$50.01 to \$300.00	\$30.00	\$30.00	
11	\$300.01 and Above	\$40.00	\$40.00	
12	Late Payment	3% per month	3% per month	
13	Meter Tampering			
14	First Offence	\$200.00	\$200.00	
15	Second Offence	\$400.00	\$400.00	
16	Third Offence	\$600.00	\$600.00	
17	Unauthorized Use Charge			
18	First Offence	NC	NC	
19	Second Offence	\$250.00	\$250.00	
20	Third Offence	\$500.00	\$500.00	

Little Gasparilla Water Utility
Water Utility
Rate Comparison
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

Redesigned Rates

Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 1 of 2

		Water		
				Difference
-Line		Existing	Proposed	From
No.	Description	Rates	Rates	Current Rates
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
1	Residential			
2				
3	Base Charge			
4	5/8 X 3/4 inch meter	\$37.27	\$49.80	\$12.53
5	3/4 inch meter	37.27	74.70	37.43
6	1 inch meter	96.75	124.50	27.75
7	1 1/2 inch meter	97.76	249.00	151.24
8	2 inch meter	195.49	398.40	202.91
9	3 inch meter	312.77	796.80	484.03
10	4 inch meter	586.48	1,245.00	658.52
11	6 inch meter	977.45	2,490.00	1,512.55
12	8 inch meter	1,965.91	3,984.00	2,018.09
13	10 inch meter	3,127.88	5,727.00	2,599.12
14				
15	Usage (Gallons)			
16	All Usage	\$4.20	\$4.81	\$0.61
17	-			
18				
19	Monthly Bill for '5/8 X 3/4	inch meter		
20	0	\$37.27	\$49.80	\$12.53
21	1,000	41.47	54.61	13.14
22	2,000	45.67	59.42	13.75
23	3,000	49.87	64.23	14.36
24	4,000	54.07	69.04	14.97
25	5,000	58.27	73.85	15.58
26	6,000	62.47	78.66	16.19
27	7,000	66.67	83.47	16.80
28	8,000	70.87	88.28	17.41
29	9,000	75.07	93.09	18.02
30	10,000	79.27	97.90	18.63
31	11,000	83.47	102.71	19.24
32	12,000	87.67	107.52	19.85
33	13,000	91.87	112.33	20.46
34	14,000	96.07	117.14	21.07
35	15,000	100.27	121.95	21.68
36	16,000	104.47	126,76	22.29
37	17,000	108.67	131.57	22.90
38	18,000	112.87	136.38	23.51
39	19,000	117.07	141.19	24.12
40	20,000	121.27	146.00	24.73
.0	20,000	121.21	110.00	27.73

Table 3

Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water Utility

Recommended Revenue Requirements

For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

Consultant Report

Dated: April 09, 2012

Page 1 of 1

Line		Re	ecommended
No.	Description		Water
	(a)		(b)
1	Total Test Year System Revenue Requirements	\$	265,785
2 3	Total Test Year System Rate Revenues Based on Current Rates		204,479
4 5	Miscellaneous Revenues	***************************************	
6	Total Test Year System Revenues at Present Rates		204,479
7 8	Proposed Test Year Additional Revenue Requirement	\$	61,306
9 10	Percentage Increase to Total Test Year Rate Revenues		29.98%
10	Percentage increase to Total Test Year Rate Revenues		29.98%

Little Water Detern For the	Little Gaspari Water Utility Determination For the Test Ye	Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water Utility Determination of Rate Base - Water For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010						Cons Date Page	Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 1 of 1	12		
;									•	Recommended		
Line	Acct.	Decomination	-	17/21/2007	Per Annual Report	Keport	12/21/10		Adinotmonto	Jog.	ď	Adjusted
N	- Jamir	Description (a)	1	(h)	(c)	(d)	(e)		ujusuucuus (f)	(a)		(h)
				<u>(</u>)	Đ	Đ)	E		3	9		3
,	101	Rate Base Total Water Plant In Service	64	1 393 601 \$	1 393 601	1 393 601 \$	1 421 050	\$ 050	,	Table 5	64	1 421 050
7		Less:	•			10010011					•	200171.11
ю		Nonused & Useful Plant		•	•	•		,	,			•
4	108	Accumulated Depreciation & Amortization		(387,831)	(442,281)	(496,731)	(552,	161)	717	Table 6		(551,444)
2	271	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC)		(541,100)	(554,600)	(572,600)	(590,600)	(009	1	Table 9		(290,600)
9	252	Advances for Construction		•	,	•		,	1			•
7		Subtotal	649	464,670 \$	396,720 \$	324,270 \$	278,289	289 \$	717		69	279,006
∞												
6		Additions:										***************************************
10	272	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	8	61,502 \$	78,481 \$	\$ 896,56		113,776 \$	(2,374)	Table 10	ક્ક	111,402
=		Subtotal	6-9	526,172 \$	475,201 \$	420,238 \$	392,065	\$ 590	(1,656)	***************************************	69	390,409
2												
13		Plus or Minus										of comme
4	114	Acquisition Adjustments	643	59 ,	\$,	5 9		6 /3	1		6-9	J
15	115	Accumulated Amortization of Acquisistion Adjustments		į	•	•			•			1
91		Working Capital Allowance		,	1,183	8,135		,	20,591	Table 11		20,591
17		Net Other Deferred Debits		ı	•	•		,	,			ı
18									,			
19		Water Rate Base	69	526,172 \$	476,384 \$	428,373 \$	392,065	065 \$	18,935		69	411,000
20												
21		Return on Rate Base										
22		Percentage Return on Water Rate Base		-14.47%	-4.92%	-10.24%	-17.	-17.58%		Table 12		7.84%
23		Return on Water Rate Base		(\$76,158)	(\$23,441)	(\$43,847)	(\$68,	(\$68,944)		Table 14		\$32,228

	Recommended Test Year 12/31/2010 Water Plant	Original Cost	 Э	1		486,538	118,209	•	115,429		.ee	66.393	314,212	1	234,111	1	17,384	•	. F	. •	6,953	1	3 477	8.344	.	•	3			1 421 050	
	9	Retirements	3	,			•		•	•				,			•	٠			•			,	,	,	,			5	•
Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page I of 1	2010 Adiustments			59	, ,		,	ı	•		, ,	,	,	,	ı	•	1	•				1	, ,	t	,	ı	,	,		S	
Con: Date	Company	Additions	(a)	,		27,449		•	1			ı		,	•	ı	•	,	i	•	,	•		1	•	•		t		27.449 S	
	Orieinal Cost	Ending Balance	3		, 20 000	459,089	118,209	•	115,429	ŧ	, ,	66.393	314,212		234,111	•	17,384			, ,	6,933		3.477	8,344	. •		•	,		\$ 1.393.601 \$	10060061
	2009 Adiustments		()	S			•	,	1		. ;		•	•	•	į	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		,	1			S	
Table 5	20 Adiu	Adj.	(E)	S	1 :	,	•	•	,	,	, ,	•	,	•	•	•	•	,	٠	į	•		•	٠	•	ı	1	•		8	
	Company	Additions	<u> </u>	69	, ,	1	•		F	•	, ,	,	•	1	•	•	•	•	1	•	1		•	1	•	•	•	•		S	
	Adjusted Original Cost as	of 12/31/2008	(a)	S	20 000	459,089	118,209	•	115,429	•		66,393	314,212	•	234,111		17,384	•			6,933		3.477	8,344		•	•	•		\$ 1,393,601	
ter Utility Service	For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010 Line	Account Name	(a) ODICINAT DI ANT	301 Organization	302 Franchiscs 303 Land & Land Rights	304 Structures & Improvements	305 Collect. & Impound. Reservoirs	306 Lake, River & Other Intakes	307 Wells & Springs	208 innitration Galleries & Lunnels	310 Power Generation Equipment	311 Pumping Equipmen	320 Water Treatment Equipment	330 Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes	331 Transmission & Distribution Mains	333 Services	334 Meters & Meter Installations	335 Hydrants	336 Backflow Prevention Devices	239 Ouier Flain & Miscellancous Equipmen	340 Office Furniture & Equipmen 341 Transportation Foreignment	342 Stores Equipment	343 Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	344 Laboratory Equipment	345 Power Operated Equipment	346 Communication Equipmen	347 Miscellancous Equipment	348 Other Tangible Plant		TOTAL UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE	
Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water Utility Water System Plant In Service	est Year End	Q		301	303	304	305	306	307	308	310	311	320	330	331	333	334	555	336	955 945	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348			
Little Gaspar Water Utility Water System	For the 1	No.		r	ो ला	4	5	9	r - c	o c	01	=	12	13	14	15	9 :	<u> </u>	<u>~</u> =	۲ 5	7.	22	23	24	25	50	27	28	28	29	

	Recommended Test Year 12/31/2010	Accumulated Depr. Balance	(0)			144,203	34,723	50,186	and the state of		33,415	196,247	65.946) 	12,011		4	5,449	and and and	2,725	6,539	1000	de la cons		5 - 158a - 5	\$ 551,444
Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 1 of 1		Less Retirements	(u)			•		•			,	,			,					•	•					S - S
		Adjustments [3]	(m)			•	,	,			•	,	1		,			•		,	,					
	2010	Depreciation Expense	(1)			16,879	2,955	4,271			3,904	18,476	6.157		1,022			464		232	557					54,917
		Average I Original Plant	(k)	,	20 000	472,814	118,209	115,429	•	•	66,393	314,212	234.111		17,384	1 1	ı	6,953	. ,	3,477	8,344	•		•	•	S 1,407,326 S
		Accumulated Depreciation	(i)			127,324	31,768	45,915			29,511	177,771	59.789		10,989			4,986		2,493	5,982					\$ 496,527
		Less Retirements	(9)			•	•	•			•	•	•		•			,		,	•					
Table 6	2009	Depreciation Expense	(h)			16,389	2,955	4,271			3,904	18,476	6.157		1,022			464		232	557					54,427
		Average I Original Plant	(T)	ν,	20 000	459,089	118,209	115,429		, ,	66,393	314,212	234.111		17,384	1 1	•	6,953	1 1	3,477	8,344	,	1	•	•	S 1,393,601 S
	Adjusted Accumulated	Depr. as of 12/31/2008	(J)			110,934	28,813	41,644	,		25,607	159,295	53,631	•	196'6		,	4,522	, ,	2,261	5,426			,		S 442,100
	Annual	Depr. Rate	(c)			3.57%	2.50%	3.70%			5.88%	5.88%	2.63%		5.88%			6.67%		6.67%	%19.9					1-11
	Average Service Annual	Life (Yrs) [1]	(p)			28	40	27			11	17	38		17			15		15	15					
Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water Utility Water Stein Accumulated Depreciation For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010		Account Name	(a)	ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION 301 Organization	302 Franchiscs 303 Land & Land Richts	304 Structures & Improvements	305 Collect. & Impound, Reservoirs 306 Lake. River & Other Intakes	307 Wells & Springs	308 Infiltration Galleries & Tunnels	309 Supply Mains 310 Power Generation Equipment	311 Pumping Equipment	320 Water Treatment Equipment	330 Distribution Keservoirs & Standpipes 331 Transmission & Distribution Mains	333 Services	334 Meters & Meter Installations	335 Elydrants 336 Backflow Prevention Devices	339 Other Plant & Miscellancous Equipment	340 Office Furniture & Equipment	341 Transportation Equipment 342 Stores Equipment	343 Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	344 Laboratory Equipment	345 Power Operated Equipment	346 Communication Equipment	347 Miscellancous Equipment	348 Other Tangioic Flain	TOTAL ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
sparilla V tility stem Acet		Ð		301 3			305			310			331 3			336 3			341 3					347 3	•	-
Little Gaspar' Water Utility Water System For the Test Y		No.			ci m	4	v v	7	∞ o	ę 5	: =	12	5 4	15	91	2 8	61	70	21	23	24	25	52	27	70 70 70	30

	∄	Plan
	ä	Ę
	Little Gasparilla	Water System
	ē	5
:	Ē	>

334 NETERS NETERS	ı	17,384.00		17 384 00	00,405,1	. ,	17,384.00			17,384.00		17,384.00	•		17,384.00			17,384.00			17,384.00			- 17,384.00			- 17,384.00		17 294 00			17.384.00
Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 1 at 2 331 TITANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION SERVICES	ļ	187,743.00		- 187 743 00	00,007,10		187,743.00			187,743.00		187,743.00	46,368.00		234,111.00			234,111.00		•	234,111.00		•	234,111.00		,	234,111.00		00 111 750			234,111,00
Consultant Report Page 1 of 2	€	•						, ,		, ,	•	. -	•	, ,		, ,		,	. ,	-	•			,	, ,		,			•	, ,	
320 WATER 11S TREATMENT EQUIP S	İ	205,127.00		105 127 00		, ,	205,127.00	109,085.00		314,212.00	•	314,212.00	,		314,212.00			314,212,00	. ,	,	314,212.00		•	314,212.00			314,212.00		114 212 00	,		314,212,00
311 PUMPING EQUIP		30,171.00		00.171.05	-		30,171.00	12,690.00	,	42,861.00	•	42,861.00	•		42,861.00			42,861.00	23,532.00	•	66,393.00		•	66,393.00		•	66,393.00	•	00 202 99	,		66.393.00
310 POWER GENERATION EQUIPMENT	8	٠	•				•		,		•		•		•	. ,			• 1	•	•		,	•		,	•	•		•	, ,	
309 R SUPPLY MAINS		•		1					,		•	'	•				•				•		1	•		•	•	•	•	•		,
308 NFILTR GALLER AND TUNNELS	(f)	•		, .					•		•	,	•				,			•	•		,	•		,	•	•	•	•		
307 WELLS N AND SPRINGS		115,429,00		115.429.00		. ,	115,429.00			115,429.00	•	115,429.00	,		115,429,00		•	115,429.00			115,429.00			115,429.00		•	115,429.00	•	115 429 00	,		115.429.00
306 Lake, river and other intakes	Э)	•)								•	, , ,	•					•		,	•		,	•		,	• 1	•	. .	•		
	(p)	118,209,00		118 209 00			118,209.00		, ,	118,209.00		118,209,00			118,209.00		,	118,209.00		•	118,209.00			118,209,00			118,209.00		00 600 811			118 209 00
304 STRUCTURES MINROVENIENT:	(g)	147,934.00		147.934.00			147,934.00		, , , ,	264,787.00	•	412,721.00	46,368.00	, ,	459,089.00		•	459,089.00		١	459,089.00	,		459,089.00		,	459,089,00		459 089 00	27,449.00		486.538.00
303 304 305 LAND STRUCTURES WATER AND COLLINPOUNT TREATMENT MRROYERST; RESERVOIRS	(၁)	50,000.00		50.000.00			50,000.00		, 000 02	00,000,00		50,000.00	,	, ,	50,000.00		,	50,000.00		•	50,000.00		,	50,000.00			50,000.00	•	00.000.08	•		50.000.00
302 FRANCHISE	(g)	•								, ,			•	. ,			•			,			,					•	1	٠		
301 ORGANIZE	(a)		. ,				,	, ,			٠						•	,				•	*	•		· ·	. ,	٠		٠		
Tahle 7 Little Gasparrilla Water Utility Water System Plant in Service Madeup fanet Last Raue Filing For the Test Year finded December 31, 2010 Line No. Description	1300	BALANCE 12/31/1999	2000 Additions 2000 Adjustments	2000 Retirements BALANCE 12/31/2000	2001 Additions	2001 Adjustments 2001 Retirements	BALANCE 12/31/2001	2002 Adjustments	2002 Retirements	2003 Adjustments	2003 Adjustments	BALANCE 12/31/2003	2004 Additions	2004 Retirements	BALANCE 12/31/2004	2005 Adiustments	2005 Retirements	BALANCE 12/31/2005	2006 Adjustments	2006 Retirements	BALANCE 12/31/2006	2007 Adjustments	2007 Retirements	BALANCE 12/31/2007	2008 Adjustments	2008 Retirements	DALANCE 12/31/2008	2009 Adjustments	2009 Retirements BALANCE 12/31/2009	2010 Additions	2010 Adjustments 2010 Retirements	BALANCE 12/31/2010
Table 7 Little G Water S; Build-up Filing F Ended D Line No.		-	n n	4 v		r- 00	Q 0	2 =	C :	2 4	51 5	2 12	<u>se</u> 5	30	21	ដ ដ	17	25	3 5	28	23	3 2	E :	E 2	K X	36	38	39	4	다 :	4 4	45

	348 OTHER ANGIBLE	1 1	(aa) (ab)	- 890,771,00			890.771.00			890,771.00	. 121,775.00		- 1,012,546.00	- 264,787.00		1.277.333.00	- 92,736.00	•	1370.069.00			1.370.069.00	23,532.00		1.393,601,00		•	00 103 101 1	no inninger		1 203 601 00	יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי			- 1,393,601.00	**************************************	
Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 2 of 2		اء ,	(z)		,	•	, ,	•	. ,		•							•	. .	•	•		•	•	, .	•	•	.],		•	•		•	,	•		
Co. Dat	346 COMMINICAT	EQUIP	3		,		. ,		• •			, ,		ı					. .		,		•	•					. •			, ,	•			•	
	345 POWER OPERATED		æ	•	•	•	. ,	٠			,			•		. .	•		,], 	•		. .	•	•	. ,	•	•	. .	•	•		, ,	•	-	•	•	
	344 LABORATORY		(w)	8,344.00	•		8.344.00			8,344.00	,		8,344.00	•	•	8,344,00		•	8.344.00	•	,	8,344,00		•	8,344.00			8 344 00	,	,	9 344 00	,0,555	•		8,344.00		
	343 TOOLS SHOP AND		<u>(x)</u>	3,477.00		.•	3.477.00		• •	3,477.00	•		3,477.00			3.477.00	•	•	3.477.00	•	•	3.477,00		•	3,477.00	•	•	3.477.00			1 477 00	**************************************		,	3,477.00	٠	•
	342 STORES	- [(n)	٠	•	•	, .	٠		ŀ	,			•	•	, .		•	. .	•	•	. .	•				•	.],			-		•			•	•
	341 TRANSPORT	EQUIP	8	•			.].			,	,		,			. .	•	•	, .					•	. .		•	. .	•		,		•			,	
	340 DFFICE FURN AND	EQUIP	(s)	6,953.00	,		6.953.00			6,953.00	•	. ,	6,953.00		•	6,953.00		•	6.953.00	•		6.953.00		•	6,953.00		•	6.953.00			6 953 00				6,953.00		
	336 339 340 BACKFLOW OTHER PLANT OFFICE FURN RRYENTION AND AND	MISC EQUIP	Œ		•	,	.],	•			•		,	•	• 1			•	, , ,	•		. .		,	, ,	•		,		•		•		,			٠
	336 BACKFLOW (PREVENTION		(b)		,	•	, ,	٠			•			,	, ,	,	•	•	. .		•	, ,		i ·		ď			,			•			. ,		
	335	NTS	(b)		•	•	. .	•	, ,	,	•						•			•						•	•		٠			•					
Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water System Plant In Service Build-up Since Last Rate Filing For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010		Description	Coer	BALANCE 12/31/1999	2000 Additions	2000 Adjustments	2000 Kettrements BALANCE 12/31/2000	2001 Additions	2001 Adjustments	BALANCE 12/31/2001	2002Additions	2002 Retirements	BALANCE 12/31/2002	2003 Adjustments	2003 Adjustments	BALANCE 12/31/2003	2004 Additions	2004 Adjustments	BALANCE 12/31/2004	2005 Additions	2005 Adjustments	BALANCE 12/31/2005	2006 Additions	2006 Adjustments	BALANCE 12/31/2006	2007 Additions	2007 Adjustments	BALANCE 12/31/2007	2008 Additions	2008 Adjustments	BALANCE 17/31/2008	2009 Additions	2009 Adjustments	2009 Kethements	2010 Additions	2010 Adjustments	2010 Retirements
Water Sy Water Sy Build-up Filing For	i.	No.		-	C 1	m	4.40	9	r &	6	≘ :	= 2	1 12	7	2 2	2 12	81	6 6	3 2	21	នារ	ដ	56	72. 25	ឧ	30	∺ ₽	4 12	×	35	e E	: æ	39	⊋:	- -	4	7

α	
¢	
Ξ	
r	
۳	

4,855.72 METERS INSTALLATION 9,966.61 767.00 1,789.18 2,811.36 3,833.54 5,877.90 6,900.08 7,922.25 8,944.43 Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 1 of 2 29,002.94 6,157.12 35,160.06 6,157.12 3,705.00 4,937.64 8,642.64 13,580.28 18,517.92 23,455.56 41,317.18 6,157.12 53,631.42 103,868.50 18,475.67 177,771.16 21,111.47 33,172.94 15,268.57 48,441.50 18,475.67 66,917.17 18,475.67 85,392.83 18,475.67 122,344.16 18,475.67 140,819.83 18,475.67 159,295.50 18,475.67 3,105.05 9,546.48 12,066,70 3,212.07 17,799.00 4,879.11 7,026.25 3,903.91 3,903.91 29,510.72 SUPPLY 309 307 308 WELLS NFILTR GALLER AND SI SHRINGS TUNNELS N (6) (6) 4,270.87 16,018,62 20,289,49 24,560.37 4,270.87 28,831.24 33,102.11 4,270.87 37,372.98 4,270.87 41,643.86 45,914.73 | 303 | 304 | 305 | 306 | 306 | 306 | 306 | 306 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 | 307 14,036.90 16,992,13 2,955.23 25,857.80 31,768.25 8,126.45 11,081.68 22,902.58 19,806.73 45,376,23 16,389,48 61,765.71 94,544.66 127,323.62 9,244,24 5,281,24 14,525.49 5,281.24 29,814.42 78,155.19 110,934,14 302 9 301 (a) BALANCE 1231/1999
2000 Additions
2000 Additions
2000 Additions
2001 Additions
2001 Additions
2001 Additions
2001 Additions
2001 Additions
2002 Additions
2002 Additions
2003 Additions
2003 Additions
2003 Additions
2003 Additions
2003 Additions
2003 Additions
2004 Additions
2005 Additions
2005 Additions
2006 Additions
2007 Additions
2008 Additions
2008 Additions
2007 Additions
2008 Adjustments
2007 Additions
2009 Additions
2 Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water System Accumulated Depreciation Build-up Since Last Rate Filing For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010 Depreciation Life Years New Franchise Depreciation Life Description No.

196,246.83

33,414.63

50,185.60

œ
¢
Ξ
Ē

Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 2 of 2

58,731.91 33,554.91 92,286.82 129,421.92 45,441.73 51,605.58 226,469.23 279,512.22 53,734.83 333,247.05 54,426.67 387,673.73 442,100.40 54,426.67 496,527.07 54,916.64 25,177.00 33,554.91 TOTALS 348
OTHER
TANGIBLE
PLANT
(aa) 347 | 343 | 344 | 345 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 2,643.18 3,756.27 3,199.72 4,312.81 1,530,09 2,086.63 4,869.36 5,425.90 1,797.41 231.92 1,565.50 2,029.33 231.92 2,493.16 STORES EQUIP (u) 342 | 336 | 339 | 340 | 341 | 341 | 342 | 342 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 2,203.06 1,739.30 2,666.83 3,130.59 4,985.65 1,275.53 HYDRANTS (p) 335 BALANCE 12/31/1999
2000 Additions
2000 Additions
2000 Additions
2001 Additions
2001 Additions
2001 Additions
2001 Additions
2002 Additions
2003 Additions
2003 Additions
2003 Additions
2004 Additions
2005 Additions
2005 Additions
2006 Additions
2006 Additions
2006 Additions
2006 Additions
2007 Additions
2006 Additions
2006 Additions
2006 Additions
2007 Additions
2008 Aditionents
2008 Aditionents
2008 Additions
2009 Additions
2008 Additions
2008 Additions
2009 Addition Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water System Accumulated Depreciation Build-up Since Last Rate Filing For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010 Depreciation Life Years New Franchise Depreciation Life Description Line No.

Little Gasparilla Water Utility
Water System Contributions-In-Aid-of-Construction Build-up Since Last Rate Filing
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 1 of 1

541,100.00 13,500.00 21,100.00 49,500.00 70,600.00 22,500.00 93,100.00 45,000.00 160,600.00 162,000.00 322,600.00 83,500.00 554,600.00 18,000.00 572,600.00 18,000.00 138,100.00 22,500.00 406,100.00 94,500.00 500,600.00 590,600.00 TOTALS છ 21,100.00 49,500.00 70,600.00 22,500.00 93,100.00 45,000.00 138,100.00 22,500.00 160,600.00 162,000.00 322,600.00 83,500.00 406,100.00 94,500.00 500,600.00 541,100.00 13,500.00 554,600.00 18,000.00 572,600.00 18,000.00 590,600.00 Water CIAC 9 3 2001 Adjustments
2001 Retirements
2001 Retirements
2002 Adjustments
2002 Adjustments
2002 Adjustments
2003 Adjustments
2003 Adjustments
2003 Adjustments
2003 Adjustments
2003 Adjustments
2004 Adjustments
2004 Adjustments
2004 Adjustments
2004 Adjustments
2004 Retirements
2005 Adjustments
2006 Additions
2006 Adjustments
2006 Additions
2006 Additions
2006 Additions
2006 Additions
2007 Additions 2000 Adjustments 2000 Retirements BALANCE 12/31/2000 2001 Additions BALANCE 12731/2008
2009 Additions
2009 Adjustments
2009 Retirements
BALANCE 12/31/2009
2010 Additions
2010 Additions
2010 Retirements
BALANCE 12/31/2010 BALANCE 12/31/1999 2000 Additions 2008 Adjustments 2008 Retirements Description Linc No.

Little Gasparilla Water Utility
Water System Accumulated Amortization of Contributions-in-Aid-ofConstruction Build-up Since Last Rate Filing
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 1 of 1

TOTALS	(e)		327.00	1,389.26	•	2011.1	2,480.06	,	1	4,196.31	3,502.68		7,698.99	4,525.31	•	,	12,224.30	7,320.48	•	10 544 70	11,039.81		•	30,584,58	13,736.51	•	•	44,321.09	07,701.70	•	60,102.84	16,599.86		-	76,702.70	17,077.08	•	- 000	93,779.78	17,022.48		111,402.26
Water Accumulated Amort CIAC	(b)	3.03%	327.00	1,389.26	t	202161	1,710.28	,	1	4,196.31	3,502.68	; ;	7,698.99	4,525.31	ı	*	12,224.30	7,320.48		10 544 70	17,544.78	-		30,584.58	13,736.51	•	1	44,321.09	0/:10/:01	1	60,102.84	16,599.86	1		76,702.70	17,077.08		CH CHA CO	93,779.78	11,022.48	ı	111,402.26
Description	(a) A mortization Period (veses)		I BALANCE 12/31/1999	2 2000 Additions		4 2000 Refirements	5 DALANCE 12/51/2000 6 2001 Additions			BA	10 2002 Additions		BA				BA			U 2004 Refirements				BA				9 BALANCE 12/31/2006			BA				BA				1 BALANCE 12/31/2009			BA
Line No.						, ,		. , `	~	٠,	≘ :	- =	2 12	-		×	17	≃	≃ }	3 5	2 2	23	24	25	79	27	78	20 25	3 ==	33	33	34	35	36	37	38	£ 5	9;	<u>4</u> £	1 4	; 4	45

Table 11

Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water Utility Working Capital Allowance

For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012

Page 1 of 1

Line No.		commended 2010 Amounts
	(a)	(c)
1	Recommended Operation and Maintanence	
2	Expense Pro Forma Year	\$ 164,730
3		
4	1/8 Operations and Maintanence Factor	12.50%
5		
6	Total Recommended Working Capital	
7	for Proforma Test Year	\$ 20,591

Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 1 of 1

Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water Utility Cost of Capital / Rate of Return For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

	Average Weighted Return	on Rate Base	(k)		1.16%	6.65%	%00'0	0.03%	0.00%	0.00%	7.84%				I become to							
	_	Return or	0		4,787	27,329	1	112	ı	•	32,228											
Recommended	Cost of	Capital (1)	(1)		7.00% \$	8.00%	0.00%	11.16%	0.00%	0.00%	8											
Line	Rate Base	Percentage	(F)		16.64%	83.12%	0.00%	0.24%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%											
	Restated	Rate Base	(E)		\$ 68,383	341,617	•	1,000	•	į	\$ 411,000											
	Average Weighted Return	on Rate Base	(£)		1.22%	6.58%	0.00%	0.03%	0.00%	0.00%	7.83%											
		Return	(e)			25,815	•	112		•	30,713											
Company - 12/31/2010	Cost of	Capital	(p)		7.00%	8.00%	0.00%	11.16%	0.00%	0.00%	69											
Compa	Rate Base	Percentage	(9)		17.44%	82.30%	0.00%	0.26%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%		Combined	7.130%	1.610%	0.255%	631.225%	638.350%	11.160%	0.000%	11.160%	
	Restated	Rate Base	(q)		68,383	322,682	•	1,000		•	392,065					ļ						
					₩						59								_			
		Component	(a)	Water	Long Term Debt (1)	Notes Payable (1)	Preferred Stock	Common Shareholder Equity	Customer Deposits	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	Total Water		FPSC Leverage Formula For Return on Equity	Average Debt Cost Rate (Per FPSC)*	Business Risk Premium (Per FPSC)*	Equity Ratio	Calculated Equity Premium	Calculated Return on Equity	Max Allowed Return on Equity (at 40% Equity)	Adjustment to Return on Equity	Recommended Return on Equity	
	Line	No.			_	7	m	4	'n	9	7	∞	6	10	=	12	13	4	15	91	11	

* Based on the Florida Public Service Commissions authorized range of return on common equity for water and wastewater utilities, Order No. PSC-11-0287-PAA-WS.

(1) Company's Debt portion of the cost of capital was based on information contained in the 2010 annual report as follows for overall cost rates amounts were then reconciled to actual rate base as shown above:

	4,787	55,418	60,205		4,787	48,968	53,755
Per Annual Report	7.00%	9.05%	8.85%	Recommended Adjustment	7.00%	8.00%	7.90%
Per An	68,383	612,105	680,488	Recommen	68,383	612,105	680,488
	643				64)		
	Long Term Debt Colonial Bank Loan	Notes Payable - Intercompany Loan	Overall Cost of Debt		Long Term Debt Colonial Bank Loan	Notes Payable - Intercompany Loan	Overall Cost of Debt

Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water Utility Schedule of Adjustments to Rate Base For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

	(a)		djustment
			(b)
	Plant In Service		
1	A. Adjustment Related to Plant In Service. Refer to Table 4 for water detail.		
2	Company Reported 12/31/2010	S	1,421,050
3	Recommended		1,421,050
4	Total Adjustments required to Plant In Services	S	-
5			
6	Accumulated Depreciation		
7	B. Adjustment Related to Accumulated Depreciation. Refer to Table 4 for water detail.		
8	Company Reported 12/31/2010	S	552,161
9	Recommended		551,444
10	Total Adjustments required to Accumulated Depreciations	S	(717)
11			
12	Contributions In Aid of Construction		
13	C. Adjustment Related to Contributions In Aid of Construction. Refer to Table 4 for water detail.		
14	Company Reported 12/31/2010	S	590,600
15	Recommended		590,600
16	Total Adjustments Required to Contributions In Aid of Construction	S	-
17		L	
18	Accumulated Amortization of Contributions In Aid of Construction		
19	D. Adjustment Related to Accumulated Amortization of Contributions In Aid of Construction. Refer to Table 4 for water detail		
20	Company Reported 12/31/2010	\$	113,776
21	Recommended		111,402
22	Total Adjustments Required to Accumulated Amortization of Contributions In Aid of Construction	S	(2,374)
23			
24	Working Capital Allowance		
25	E. Adjustment Related to Working Capital Allowance. Refer to Table 4 for water detail.		
26	Company Reported 12/31/2010	S	-
27	Recommended		20,591
28	Total Adjustments required to Working Capital Allowances	S	20,591
29			
	Net Other Deferred Debits		
31	F. Adjustment Related to Net Other Deferred Debits. Refer to Table 4 for water detail.		
32	Company Reported 12/31/2010	S	-
33	Recommended	-	-
34	Total Adjustments required to Net other Deferred Debits	S	
35	•	-	
36	Total Rate Base Adjustments (A-B-C+D-E-F)	S	18,935

Little	Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water Utility					0 1	Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012	ort 2012		
Cons	Constructed Income Statement - Water				,	, 14	Page 1 of 1			
For tl	For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010					ļ	•	Recommended		- 1
				Per Annual Report	Report				Test	
Line						ı	Adjustments	ents	Year Ending	
No.	Description	12/	12/31/2007	12/31/2008	12/31/2009	12/31/2010	Amount	Ref.	12/31/2010	
	(a)		(p)	(p)	(p)	(p)	(f)	(g)	(h)	1
	Revenues									
-	Metered Sales		\$176,787	\$208,236	\$192,675	\$167,556	\$98,229	Table 23	\$265,785	
7	Other Water Revenue		1,827	0	0	0	0	Table 23	0	1
θ,	Total Revenues		\$178,614	\$208,236	\$192,675	\$167,556	\$98,229		\$265,785	1
4 v	Onersting Expenses									
9	Total Operation & Maintenance Expenses		\$186,429	\$167,080	\$179,820	\$158,662	\$6,068	Table 15	\$164,730	
7	•			,	•		,			
∞	Other Operating Expenses							**************************************	emment for	
6	Misc. Non-Utility Expense - Other		\$0	80	\$0	80	\$0		\$0	
10	Depreciation Expense (Used & Useful)		54,450	54,450	54,450	55,430	(513)	Table 6	54,917	
11	Amortization of CIAC (Used & Useful)		(14,059)	(16,989)	(17,477)	(17,808)	186	Table 10	(17,622)	_
12	Amortization of Utility Plant Acquisition		0	0	0	0	0	Name and Advanced	0	
13	Taxes Other than Income		27,952	27,136	19,729	40,216	(8,753)	Table 20	31,463	
14	Interest Income - Other		0	0	0	0	0		0	
15	Misc. Income (AFUDC)		0	0	0	0	0		0	
16	Allowance for Funds Prudently Invested		0	0	0	0	0		0	
17	Total Other Operating Expenses		68,343	64,597	56,702	77,838	(9,081)		68,757	ı
18										
19	Subtotal Operating Expenses		\$254,772	\$231,677	\$236,522	\$236,500	(\$3,013)		\$233,487	ı
70										
21	Income/(Loss) Before Taxes		(\$76,158)	(\$23,441)	(\$43,847)	(\$68,944)	\$101,242		\$32,298	
22	Income Taxes		0	0	0	0	70	Table 19	70	
23	Deferred Income Taxes		0	0	0	0	0		0	
24										ı
25	Net Income/(Loss)		(\$76,158)	(\$23,441)	(\$43,847)	(\$68,944)	\$101,172		\$32,228	
26										
27	Rate Base	∽	526,172 \$	476,384 \$	428,373	\$ 392,065	\$ 18,935	Table 4	\$ 411,000	
28										
29	Return on Rate Base		(14.47%)	(4.92%)	(10.24%)	(17.58%)		Table 12	7.84%	- 11

Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 1 of 1

Water Operations and Maintenance Expense	For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010
	Water Operations and Maintenance Expense

	Adinatod	Aujusteu 12/31/2010	(K)		1	57,129	1	- 1-	30,361		4,087	···••		17,406	1,244	1	089'9	5,490	3,953) .	38,380		164,730
ded	A 41	Ref.	 		649	Table 17					Table 18			Table 18								Table 18	l	8
Recommended	Other	(See Table 18)	(h)		•	(8,871)		1	•	•	232	,	1	(618'6)	,	,	r	1	1	•	,	•		(18,457)
		ase ((4,393) [1] \$	16,500 [1]		,	7,360 [2]		•	,	,	5,059 [3]	,	,	,	,	,	•		r		24,526 \$
	Annualized	for Known Incre			6-9																			ક્ક
		12/31/2010	(£)		4,393	49,500	,		23,001		3,855	•	1	22,166	1,244	•	089'9	5,490	3,953	,	•	38,380		158,662
	ţ		 		6-9						4			5	S		2	₉ 0	63			Ę,	 	s 0
	Dor	12/31/2009	(£)		•	•	•	•	•	•	3,554	•	•	6,355	3,035	1	5,992	1,678	3,733	•	•	155,473		179,820
	Don An	12			6-9																			69
	Colonodor Voor Bor Annual Donort	12/31/2008	(f)		•	1	•		:	•	198	2,716	•	7,472	1,208	1	10,148	3,078	3,823	•	,	138,437		167,080
	بواول	12			643																			6-9
		12/31/2007	(f)		,	1	1	•	•		2,231	4,624		4,524	1,420	,		•	4,556		•	169,074		186,429
		12		SO	69																			6-9
		Account Name	(p)	OPERATING & MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	Salaries & Wages - Employees	Salaries & Wages - Officers, Etc.	Employees Pensions & Benefits	Purchased Water	Purchased Power	Fuel for Power Purchased	Chemicals	Materials & Supplies	Contractual Services - Billing	Contractual Services - Professional	Contractual Services - Testing	Contractual Services - Other	Rents	Transportation Expenses	Insurance Expense	Reg. Commission Expenses- Rate Case Amort	Bad Debt Expense	Miscellaneous Expenses		Total Operating & Maintenance Expenses
	Aggint	No.	(a)		601	603	604	610	615	919	819	620	630	631	632	633	640	650	655	999	670	675		
	.i.		! 		C1	3	4	5	9	7	∞	6	10	=	13	13	14	15	91	17	18	16	70	21

Footnotes:

[1] Represents partial year 2010 Salaries & Wages - Officiers expense for 2010. Company did not start recording expense until the second quarter of 2010. [2] Represents increase in purchased power cost as a result of know rate increase by Florida Power and Light for Calendar Year 2011. [3] Represents known increase in contract operations expense associated with plant operator implemented in Calendar Year 2011.

Little Gasparilla Water Utility
Water Utility
Water Operations and Maintenance Expense - Adjustment for Benchmark Analysis
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 1 of 1

	Amounts	Over Benchmark	Ð		(48,641)	49,500	•	•	23,001	. •	(14,948)	(11,581)		22,166	1,244	. •	089'9	4,489	3,953	. •	,	4,704		40,566		:	(77,100)	(1,244)	(0,080)	(4,489)	(3,953)	(11,991)	(50,523)		(9,957)	,	
	∢	Over			Ś																			S									s		S	S	
Test Year	Water Expense	12/31/2010	(5)		4,393	49,500			23,001		3,855		٠	22,166	1,244	. 1	089'9	5,490	3,953	•	,	38,380		158,662													
Ĭ	Wate				S																			S													
	Adjusted for	Inflation and Growth	Θ		53,034			٠	•	,	18,803	11,581	,	,	٠	•	•	1,001				33,676		118,096													
	Adj	nflation			S																			S													
35		Growth	(e) 33%		11,282				,	,	4,000	2,464	•			,	•	213	•	. •	,	7,164		25,123													
Chang		9			s																			S													
Expected Changes		CPI	(d) 23.36%		7,906					,	2,803	1,726	•	•	,	,	,	149	,	,	,	5,020		17,605													
			73		s																			S													
	Exepense	12/31/2000	(0)		33,846		,		,	1	12,000	7,391	•	,		1	1	639			•	21,492		75,368												Allowance	
	ũ	12/			S																			S		s: 5	<u>.</u>	~ :	<u>~</u>	~	Ξ.					hmark,	
		Accou	(4)	OPERATING & MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	1 Salarics & Wages - Employees	3 Salaries & Wages - Officers, Etc.	4 Employees Pensions & Benefits) Purchased Water	5 Purchased Power	5 Fuel for Power Purchased	S Chemicals	1 Materials & Supplies	Contractual Services - Billing	I Contractual Services - Professional	2 Contractual Services - Testing	3 Contractual Services - Other) Rents	Transportation Expenses	5 Insurance Expense	5 Reg, Commission Expenses- Rate Case Amort) Bad Debt Expense	5 Miscellaneous Expenses		Total Operating & Maintenance Expenses		mark Allowan	onai	ciual Services - 1 esting		enses	Insurance Expense	Purchased Power (2010 Actual versus 2000 Actual)	Total Adjustments		Adjusted Total Expense over Benchmark Allowance	Recommended Adjustment for Expense over the Benchmark Allowance	
	Account	No.	(a)		109	603	604	019	615	919	819	620	630	631	632	633	640	650	655	999	670	675															S
		ŊĠ.		-	C1	m	4	5	9	7	œ	6	10	=	디	13	14	15	91	11	81	61	20	21 62	1	8 3	\$ }	9 2	07	27	28	53	30	31	32	33	Footnotes

[1] The following are the expected changes in the consumer price index and ERC growth since the last rate filing.

Index

Cumulative

Factor Index Factor	2.50% 2.50%			1.60% 7.96%								249	332	33%
FPSC GDP Implicit Price Deflator Index	12/2001	12/2002	12/2003	12/2004	12/2005	12/2006	12/2007	12/2008	12/2009	12/2010	Calculated Growth	ERC's 12/31/2000	ERC's 12/31/2010	Percent Increase in EDU's

Professional Services has been adjusted on Table 18 for normalization of Engineering Fees, therefore no adjustment is recommended on this schedule.

No adjustment is recommended for amounts over the benehmark for testing, rents, transportation expense and insurance expense because the Company's funding these legitumate cost of doing business in 2000, therefore no adjustment is recommended for the Company to incurt these legitamate costs. 25

Rate Case Model-LittleGaspirilla4-3-2012;Comparable Managment Fees

Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 1 of 1	Total Number of Customers Cost Per Customer	S 145,458.99 614 S 236.90	50.000.00		67,875.00 811 83.69		260,322.00 1,981 131.41		145,000.00 700 207.14	- The state of the	\$ 154.40		370	S 57,129	000'99 S	8,871	100
	Contractual Scrvices- Management Fees	S 15,000.00	0.00		0.00		41,125.00		60,000.00								
	Salaries & Wages- Officers, Directors, Majority Stockholders	s 0.00	50.000.00		67,875.00		30,000.00		85,000.00								
aler Systems	Salarics & Wages- Employees	\$ 130,458.99	0.00		0.00		189,197.00		0.00					Systems Cost Per Customer	2010 Annual Report		soomont Foos
ment Fees to Other Similar Water Systems	County:	Lake	Pasco		Polk		Highlands		Marion		nparable Systems		Number of Customers	ent Fecs Based on Comparable	ss and Management Fees - Per		mov's Salaries Wagers and Man
Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water Utility Comparison of Salarics, Wages and Management Fees to For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010	Name of Utility	1 Harbor Hills Utilitics, LP	L W V Utilities, Inc.		Park Water Company		Placid Lakes Utilities, Inc.		Residential Water Systems, Inc.		11 Average Cost Per Customer For Comparable Systems		13 Little Gasparilla Water Utility, Inc - Number of Customers	Implied Salaries, Wages and Magement Fees Based on Comparable Systems Cost Per Customer	Actual Calander 2010 Salaries, Wages and Management Fees - Per 2010 Annual Report	Diffenence	Recommended Adjustment to Company's Salaries Wages and Management Fees
Little Water Compi For the	Linc No.	_	C1 E1	4	5	9	7	œ	6	10	Ξ	13	13	14	15	16	17

Table 17

Footnotes:
[1] Comparable System Data based on the Fiscal Year 2010 Annual Reports of the listed utilities obtained from the Florida Public Service Commission website.

Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water Utility Operating Expense Adjustments For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 1 of 1

Line			Expense
No.	Description		Adjustment
	Due foreigned Courier - Francisco Control [1]		
1	Professional Services - Engineering Costs: [1]		
2	DMK Group 2007	\$	2,582
3	DMK Group 2008		1,466
4	DMK Group 2009		770
5	Three Year Average Annual Expense for Engineering Professional Services		1,606
6	2010 Reported Engineering Professional Services		11,425
7	Adjustment to Normalize Engineering Professional Services Costs	\$	(9,819)
8	• •		
9			
10	Purchased Power Cost Adjustment: [2]		
11	Billed Flows 2010 (in 000's of Gallons)		8,758
12	Billed Flows 2011 (in 000's of Gallons)		9,286
13	Adjustment Factor for Purchased Power Cost Related to increased Flows		106.03%
14	Actual Reported 2010 Purchased Power Costs		23,001
15	Adjusted Purchased Power Cost to Reflect increased Flows		24,388
16	Recommended Adjustment to Purchased Power Cost as a result of Increased Flows	\$	1,387
17	-	***************************************	
18	Chemicals Cost Adjustment: [2]		
19	Billed Flows 2010 (in 000's of Gallons)		8,758
20	Billed Flows 2011 (in 000's of Gallons)		9,286
21	Adjustment Factor for Chemicals Cost Related to increased Flows		106.03%
22	Actual Reported 2010 Chemicals Costs	***************************************	3,855
23	Adjusted Chemicals Cost to Reflect increased Flows		4,087
24	Recommended Adjustment to Chemicals Cost as a result of Increased Flows	\$	232
24	Recommended Adjustment to Chemicals Cost as a result of increased Flows	Ф	232

Footnotes

^[1] Professional Services Engineering Costs for the Test Year 2010 were approximately 7 times the prior 3 years average expense incurred for this account, therefore, an adjustment is recommended to normalize these costs for rate making purpose to better reflect these costs for the utility going forward.

^[2] Revenues projected for the Utility were actually based on Calendar Year 2011 consumption, which is the most recent information available therefore, an adjustment is recommended to the Company's Reported 2010 variable costs (purchased power and chemicals) to match revenues and expenses for the purposes of establishing rates.

Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water Utility

Income Tax Calculation and System Revenue Requirements For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

Line		-	Water Fest Year
No.	Description		2/31/2010
	(a)		(b)
	Income Tax Calculation:		, ,
1	Rate Base	\$	411,000
2	Rate of Return		7.84%
3			
4	Required Operating Income		32,228
5			
6	Less: Interest Charges		
7	Rate Base		411,000
8	Weighted Cost of Debt		7.81%
9	T		20.116
10	Interest Expense		32,116
11 12	Taxable Income		112
13	1 axable income		112
14	Federal Income Tax Rate		35.00%
15	State Income Tax Rate		5.50%
16	Composite Tax Rate		38.58%
17	Pretax Multiplier		162.80%
18	Pretax Net Income		182
19			102
20	Composite Tax Rate		38.58%
21	•		
22	Income Tax	\$	70
23			
24			
25	Revenue Requirements:		
26	Operations and Maintance Expense	\$	164,730
27	Misc. Non-Utility Expense - Other		-
28	Depreciation (Used & Useful)		54,917
29	Amoritization of CIAC (Used & Useful)		(17,622)
30	Amortization of Property Loss		-
31	Taxes Other than Income		31,463
32	Interest Income - Other		-
33	Misc. Income (AFUDC)		-
34	Allowance for Funds Prud Invested		-
35	Income Tax		70
36	Required Net Income		32,228
37	m.in. n. '.		065 705
38	Total Revenue Requirements		265,785
39	Less Other Miscellaneous Revenues		
40 41	Less Other priscenaneous Revenues	***************************************	
41	Revenue Requirements Related to Monthly Rates and Charges	\$	265,785

Table 20

Little Gasparilla Water Utility
Water Utility
Dated: April 09, 2012
Taxes Other Than Income
Page 1 of 1
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

Line No.	Description (a) Taxes Other than Income:	-	Water Test Year 12/31/2008 (b)
1	Regulatory Assessment Fees:		
2	Gross Operating Revenues	\$	265,785
3			
4	Fees Due:		
5	Total Regulatory Assessment Fees (5.0% of Gross Operating Revenues)		13,289
6			
7	Property Taxes		12,564
8	Other Taxes and Licences		1,268
9	Payroll Taxes		4,341
10			
11	Total Taxes Other Than Income	\$	31,463

Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water Utility

Adjustments to Operating Income
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

Line No.			Water Test Year
	(a)		(b)
1	(A) Adjustments to Water and Wastewater Revenues		
2	Refer to Table 14 for water for detailed calculation.		
3	Company Reported 12/31/2010	\$	167,556
4	Recommended	\$	265,785
5			-
6	Total Adjustments to Water and Wastewater Revenues	\$	98,229
7			
8	(B) Adjustments to Miscellaneous Service and Other Water and Wastewater Revenues		
9	Refer to Table 14 for water for detailed calculation.		
10	Company Reported 12/31/2010	\$	_
11	Recommended	\$	-
12	Adjustment required to Miscellaneous Service and Other Water and Wastewater Reven		
	Adjustment required to inistendments Service and Other water and wastewater Reven	Ф	-
13			
14	(C) Adjustments to Operating Expenses		
15	Refer to Table 14 for water for detailed calculation.		
16	Company Reported 12/31/2010	\$	158,662
17	Recommended	\$	164,730
18			
19	Total Adjustments to Operating Expenses	\$	6,068
20	. 5 .		-,
21	(D) Adjustment Related to Depreciation Expense to reflect adjusted Plant in Service and County Author	rizad	
22		HZeu	
	Refer to Table 14 for water for detailed calculation.	•	
23	Company Reported 12/31/2010	\$	55,430
24	Recommended	\$	54,917
25	Total Adjustments required to Depreciation Expense	\$	(513)
26			
27	(E) Adjustment Related to Accumulated Amortization Expense to reflect adjusted CIAC Balances and	County Au	thorized
28	Refer to Table 14 for water for detailed calculation.		
29	Company Reported 12/31/2010	\$	(17,808)
30	Recommended	\$	(17,622)
31	Total Adjustments required to Accumulated Amortization Expense	\$	186
32	•		
33	(F) Adjustment Related to Amortization of Utility Plant Acquistion		
34	Refer to Table 14 for water for detailed calculation.		
35		er.	
	Company Reported 12/31/2010	\$	-
36	Recommended	\$	-
37	Total Adjustments required to Accumulated Amortization Expense	\$	-
38			
39	(G) Taxes Other Than Income		
40	Refer to Table 14 for water for detailed calculation.		
41	Company Reported 12/31/2010	\$	40,216
42	Recommended	\$	31,463
43	Total Adjustments required to Taxes Other than Income	\$	(8,753)
44			(0,,00)
45	(U) Income Tay adjustments related to Decommended Operating Income and Allewed Date of Detay.		
46	(H) Income Tax adjustments related to Recommended Operating Income and Allowed Rate of Return. Refer to Table 14 for water for detailed calculation.		
47	Company Reported 12/31/2010	\$	-
48	Recommended	\$	70
49	Total Adjustments required to Income Taxes	\$	70
50			
51	Total Operating Expenses Adjustments (C+D+E+F+G+H)	\$	(2,943)
52			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
53	Total Adjustments to Net Operating Income (A+B-C-D-E-F-G-H)	\$	101,172
23	. Cam. Laguermento to ties Operating mounte (11 - D - D - D - 1 - O - 11)	Ψ	101,1/2

Little Gasparilla Water Utility Water Utility

Classification of Revenue Requirements - Water For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

Line		Revenue Requiremen	1 Assignme	ent Basis Amount Assigned		signed To:
No.	Description	As Adjusted	Base Facility	Gallonage	Base Facility	Gallonage
***************************************	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
	Water					
	Operation & Maintenance Expense					
1	Salaries & Wages - Employees	\$0	100%	0%	\$0	\$0
2	Salaries & Wages - Officers, Etc.	57,129	100%	0%	57,129	-
3	Employees Pensions & Benefits	-	100%	0%	-	-
4	Purchased Water	•	0%	100%	-	-
5	Purchased Power	30,361	0%	100%	-	30,361
6	Fuel for Power Purchased	•	0%	100%	-	-
7	Chemicals	4,087	0%	100%	-	4,087
8	Materials & Supplies	-	0%	100%	-	-
9	Contractual Services - Billing	-	0%	100%	-	-
10	Contractual Services - Professional	17,406	100%	0%	17,406	-
11	Contractual Services - Testing	1,244	50%	50%	622	622
12	Contractual Services - Other	-	50%	50%	-	_
13	Rents	6,680	100%	0%	6,680	-
14	Transportation Expenses	5,490	100%	0%	5,490	-
15	Insurance Expense	3,953	100%	0%	3,953	-
16	Reg. Commission Expenses- Rate Case Amort	-	50%	50%	-	-
17	Bad Debt Expense	-	50%	50%	-	_
18	Miscellaneous Expenses	38,380	75%	25%	28,785	9,595
19	Total Operation & Maintenance Expense	\$164,730	-	•	\$120,065	\$44,665
20	Misc. Non-Utility Expense - Other	\$0	50%	50%	\$0	\$0
21	Depreciation Expense (Used & Useful)	54,917	100%	0%	54,917	-
22	Amoritization of CIAC (Used & Useful)	(17,622)	100%	0%	(17,622)	_
23	Amortization of Utility Plant Acquisition	-	50%	50%	-	-
24	Taxes Other than Income	31,463	100%	0%	31,463	-
25	Interest Income - Other	-	100%	0%	-	_
26	Misc. Income (AFUDC)	-	100%	0%	_	-
27	Allowance for Funds Prud Invested	-	100%	0%	-	-
28	Total Other Operating Expenses	68,757	•	•	68,757	**
29	Total Operating Expenses	\$233,487		-	\$188,822	\$44,665
30	Income Taxes	70	100%	0%	\$70	\$0
31	Return on Investment	32,228	100%	0%	32,228	
32	Total Gross Revenue Requirement	\$265,785		-	\$221,120	\$44,665
33	Less: Miscellaneous Revenue	-	100%	0%	-	-
2.4		00.00.000		-	0001.100	04.555
34	Net Revenue Requirement	\$265,785	:	=	\$221,120	\$44,665

Consultant Report Dated: April 09, 2012 Page 1 of 1

Little Gasparilla Water Utility
Water Utility
Billing Determinants - Water
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

			Company Reported			Adjustments			Recommended			Revenue Check	Check	
S E	Description	Avg Mon	# Annual	Consumption	Avg Mon	No. Annual	Consumption	Avg Mon	No. Annual	Consumption	Present	Revenue at	Proposed	Revenue at
	Residential and General Service	Cuacomera		III 1,000 gairons	Customers	Dills	in those gainers	Cusionicis	DIIIS	in 1,000 ganons	Kales	Present Base Revenue	Kates	Proposed Base Reveile
	5/8 X 3/4 inch meter	370	4,440			0 0		370	4,440		37.27	165,479	49.80	221.112
7	3/4 inch meter	0	0			0 0		0	0		37.27	0	74.70	
n	I inch meter	0	0			0 0		0	0		96.75	0	124.50	0
4	1 1/2 inch meter	0	0			0 0		0	0		97.76	0	249.00	0
S	2 inch meter	0	0			0 0		0	0		195.49	0	398.40	
9	3 inch meter	0	0			0 0		0	0		312.77	0	796.80	0
7	4 inch meter	0	0			0 0		0	0		586.48	С	1.245.00	c
00	6 inch meter	0	0			0 0		0	0		977.45	0	2.490.00	
6	8 inch meter	0	0			0 0		0	0		1.965.91	C	3 984 00	
01	10 inch meter	0	0			0 0		0	0		3,127.88	0	5,727.00	
													•	and a sade
	Gallonage Charge - Residential											Usage Revenue		Usage Revenue
=	1-6,000 gallons			9,286			0			9,286	4.20	39,000	4.81	44,664
	Gallonage Charge - Residential													
12	l-6,000 gallons			0			0			0	00.00	0	4.81	0
13	Total Residential and General Servic	it 370	4,440	9,286		0 0	0	370	4,440	9,286	1	204,479	ı	265,776
4	Turn On	0				0		0			0.00	o	000	c
15	Ret Chk	0				0		0			0,00	0	0.00	
16	Other Sutbotal Misc. Rev	0				0		0			0.00	0	0000	20
81	Total Billed Revenue										1 1	204,479	1 11	265,796

Little Gasparilla Water Utility
Water Utility
Rate Design - Water
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2010

Line		Billing				Revenue	
No.	Description	Units	EDU/Unit	EDU's	Rate	Check	Difference
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
	Water						
	Base Charge						
	Commercial						
1	5/8 X 3/4 inch meter	4,440	1.00	4,440	\$49.80	\$221,112	
2	3/4 inch meter	0	1.50	0	74.70	-	
3	1 inch meter	0	2.50	0	124.50	-	
4	1 1/2 inch meter	0	5.00	0	249.00	-	
5	2 inch meter	0	8.00	0	398.40	-	
6	3 inch meter	0	16.00	0	796.80	-	
7	4 inch meter	0	25.00	0	1,245.00	-	
8	6 inch meter	0	50.00	0	2,490.00	-	
9	8 inch meter	0	80.00	0	3,984.00	-	
10	10 inch meter	0	115.00	0	5,727.00	-	
11							
12	Total Commercial	4,440		4,440	_	221,112	
13							
14	Base Facility Revenue Requirement			\$221,120			
15							
16	BASE CHARGE PER EDU			\$49.80			
17			-				
18	Consumption Charge						
19	-						
20	Consumption Charge						
21	Residential	9,286			\$4.81	\$44,664	
22						•	
23	Commercial	0			\$4.81	\$0	
24							
25	Total All Classes	9,286			•	44,664	(\$1)
26					=		
27	Consumption Revenue Requirement	\$44,665					
28		φ,σσσ					
29	CONSUMPTION CHARGE - PER 1,000 GALLONS	\$4.81			•		
30	The second secon						
31	Total Water Requirements and Revenues			\$265,785		\$265,776	(\$9)
51	10th Train Requirements and Revenues		-	Ψ200,700	=	Ψ203,770	(49)